RECORD OF DISCUSSION, ACTIONS AND DECISIONS

| Phase 1 Management Team | |
|--|--|
| July 12, 2013 | |
| Attending: Dr. Victor Maddalena, Juanita Barrett, David Stokes, Carla Peddle, Dr. Don McKay, Diana Deacon | |
| DISCUSSION/ ACTIONS | RESPONSIBLE |
| • | |
| | |
| 3.1 Schedule Name(s) instructors Session contact (clickable) objectives description Date/time/room Community Health has info ready to go. (We could mandate this for all courses through UGMS) Gold standard for all courses? Community Visit – stands alone for objectives. Community Health mostly sorted out. Changed community engagement objectives in Phase 1 with Catherine Donovan and Kathrine Stringer. | |
| | July 12, 2013 Attending: Dr. Victor Maddalena, Juanita Barrett, David Stokes, Carla Peddle, Dr. Don DISCUSSION/ ACTIONS • 3.1 Schedule Name(s) instructors Session contact (clickable) objectives description Date/time/room Community Health has info ready to go. (We could mandate this for all courses through UGMS) Gold standard for all courses? Community Visit – stands alone for objectives. Community Health mostly sorted out. • Changed community engagement objectives in Phase 1 with Catherine |

| who's teaching what materials, follow up, etc. |
|---|
| Objectives posted typically by subject topic. |
| |
| Assessment: Diana Deacon, Vernon, Juanita Barrett |
| Need assessment method blue print. |
| Each faculty member can go onto read-only database to confirm objectives |
| and attach them to a number. |
| |
| |
| 3.2 Friday Mornings |
| Overseer for each 2 week block. |
| 3 overseers: |
| Friday morning (engaging/dynamic) |
| Special projects |
| • |
| Friday morning group: |
| Banks of questions by topic for formative assessment |
| |
| Need to be better linked to objectives |
| May be better off creating new questions. |
| Use existing questions, not worrying about not-so-great questions leaking |
| out. |
| Can we write better questions with some training? |
| Decide on method of questioning. How many/what type of assessments, |
| how each assessment is weighted (numerical/ pass/fail). |
| Have faculty go through tests? |
| How do we write the questions? |
| How do we get faculty to participate? |
| |
| Q-writing: |
| Session could go in 1-3 hours depending on number of faculty participants |
| (for MCQ exams). Dr. McKay has done this. |
| |
| |
| • Formative assessment on Friday morning is required to pass course. |
| |

| | 3.3 Special Projects | |
|--------------|---|--|
| | Special projects – working group formed in July. | |
| | 6 groups/four weeks. | |
| | • Dr. Sean Murphy to help. | |
| | Special Projects – relies on portfolio, how do we assess that. Background criteria for | |
| | a successful portfolio. | |
| | Someone needs to coordinate special projects: | |
| | Grading 80 portfolios, division of labour, what criteria, how do students | |
| | miss, meet or exceed expectations for criteria. | |
| | Students present to evaluator (review & assign mark). | |
| | E-portfolio, directory containing files created by students. | |
| | How to view, grade, provide feedback etc. – workshops for faculty. | |
| | Big assessment issue: | |
| | Percentages for summative assessment pieces. | |
| | Division of teaching represents a percentage on an exam. | |
| | 20 of 100 hours tough on subject x. | |
| | 20% of exam mark covers subject x. | |
| | | |
| | Phase 1 | |
| | • Four summative exam questions mapped to numbers, 20% each. | |
| | • Early assessment important. | |
| | • | |
| | 3.4 PLMP | |
| | | |
| | 3.5 Community Health 3.5.1 CH Topics (Present) | |
| | S.S.I CH TOPICS (Presency | |
| | 3.5.2 Community Visit | |
| | 4.1 Phase 1 Priority Activities | |
| New Business | For September – what students need: | |
| | Percentage ages | |

| Dates | |
|---|--|
| What's required | |
| What's expected | |
| | |
| Both buildings booked up to Oct 31, 2013 | |
| Aiming to double book up to Christmas (some concern with second year) | |
| Small group activity room bookings (are small rooms ready without av. | |
| 4. March with March and March and an energine havitation from them. All an energiation of | |
| 1. Meet with Wes and Wade re: opening building, furniture, AV, commissioning a | |
| floor at a time, etc. Tenders/review, delivery/installation. | |
| 2. Modifying as we go (to accommodate high tech additions) in curriculum teaching. | |
| 3. Phase 1 Logistics meeting after expansion team visit. | |
| Updates to lab equipment. | |
| | |
| 4.1.1 Logistics | |
| | |
| 4.1.2 Other | |
| Question Mark software – 3 day orientation | |
| Question Mark Workshop – question bank review – revise/write new questions | |
| | |
| To write good questions: clinicians | |
| 1. Find four to five similar concepts | |
| 2. Define each by scenario | |
| 3. You've just written five questions | |
| Basic scientists – becomes like trivia. | |
| | |
| Exam writing group | |
| Review existing questions | |
| Vet for consistency | |
| | |
| Work from experiment/report/case study. Clinical example which demonstrates the | |
| science behind medicine. | |

| | Use stories to create questions reinforces importance of stories if students see them in assessment. Capitalize on Question Mark in very short period of time • Brainstorm for questions • Create and refine • New curriculum, new technology, new question bank early August 2013. Clinical Skills Leave policy (one of two required courses) unexcused absence. 1. Unexcused on Dean's letter 2. Unexcused borderline grade 3. Unexcused fail course |
|--------------|--|
| | For excused absences, how many break the bank No guarantee of making up missed materials Still have to submit marks to registrars office (incomplete). Dr. McKay and Dr. Goodridge working on defining what is too much leave. Community engagement switch ups – give students time to make up. Consequences of incomplete Phases? Don't progress to next Phase. |
| NEXT MEETING | July 26, 2013 |