

Business Co-operative Education

*Note: Complete this form electronically, if possible. Download a blank form to your computer in order for the digital signature feature to appear.

Employer's Evaluation of Work Term

STUDENT:	WORK TERM:
EMPLOYER:	
START DATE:	FINISH DATE:

SECTION 2 - Skills and Attributes

Interest in Work

 Little Interest or enthusiasm for job 	 Interest spasmodic. Occasionally enthusiastic. 	 Satisfactory amount of interest and enthusiasm for job. 	More than average amount of interest and enthusiasm for job.	 High interest in job. Very enthusiastic. Takes pride in doing work well. 	No basis for evaluation.
Initiative					
Always wants to be told what to do next	Relies on others. Must be told what to do frequently.	 Acts voluntarily in routine matters. 	 Acts voluntarily in most matters. 	□ Self-starter. Asks for new jobs. Looks for work to do. Does not waste time.	No basis for evaluation.
Organization and	Planning				
Consistently fails to organize and plan work effectively.	More often than not fails to organize and plan work effectively.	Does normal amount of planning and organizing.	 Usually organizes work and time effectively. 	Does an outstanding job of planning and organizing work and time.	No basis for evaluation.
Ability to Learn					
Very slow in understanding new information.	Rather slow understanding new information.	Average.	Quick to learn.	Excellent.	No basis for evaluation.
Quality of Work					
 Work usually done in careless manner. Often makes errors. 	More than average number of errors for a student.	Work usually passes review. Has normal number of errors.	Usually thorough, good work. Few errors.	Very thorough in performing work. Very few errors if any.	No basis for evaluation.

Quantity of Work

Very low productivity.	 Less productive than expected. 	Expected amount of productivity.	More than expected amount of productivity.	Highly productive.	No basis for evaluation.
Creativity/Proble	em Solving				
Rarely has a new idea; is not very innovative.	 Occasionally comes up with a new idea. 	 Has reasonable number of new ideas. 	Frequently suggests new ways of doing things; is very innovative.	□ Continually seeks new and better ways of doing things; is extremely innovative.	No basis for evaluation.
Dependability					
Unreliable.	Somewhat unreliable, needs above average checking.	Can be depended upon in routine situations.	Can usually be depended upon in most situations.	Can be depended upon in any situation.	No basis for evaluation.
Judgement					
Poor judgement. Jumps to conclusions without sufficient knowledge.	Judgement often undependable.	Judgement usually good in routine situations.	 Uses good common sense. Usually makes good decisions. 	 Exceptionally good. Decisions based on thorough analysis of problems 	No basis for evaluation.
Interpersonal Sk	kills				
□ Frequently quarrelsome, causes friction; overly quiet and withdrawn to the point of having an adverse effect on group.	Sometimes antagonizes. Tends to be uncommunicative. Rarely contributes to teamwork.	Most relations with others are harmonious under normal circumstances.	Congenial and helpful. Works well with associates. Seen as an asset in furthering co-operation and group harmony.	Always works in harmony with others. An excellent team worker. Contributes to group relationships and effectiveness.	No basis for evaluation.
Response to Su	pervision				
Resents suggestions and criticism by supervisor or needs close supervision or has difficulty in accepting change.	Reluctantly accepts suggestions and criticism by supervisor. Sometimes fails to recognize own limitations and needs to ask direction.	Accepts suggestions and criticism by supervisor in satisfactory manner.	 Willingly accepts suggestions and criticism by supervisor. 	Expresses appreciation and takes prompt action on suggestions and criticism by supervisor. Very open-minded and confident.	No basis for evaluation.
Communication	- Written				
❑ Not clear to the extent that it causes confusion or interferes with the performance or work.	Satisfactory, occasionally is unclear and not concise.	Usually clear and concise.	Normally very clear, well organized and easily read.	Always clear, well organized, concise, and readable, with few errors.	No basis for evaluation.

Communication - Oral

Not clear to the extent that it causes confusion or interferes with the performance of work.	Satisfactory, occasionally encounters difficulty in speaking clearly and concisely.	Usually clear and concise.	Normally very clear and understandable.	Conveys information in a clear, well-organized and easily understood manner.	No basis for evaluation.
Leadership Qualit	ties				
Not approachable	Poor, unable to organize and motivate the work of others.	Adequate.	Above average.	Excellent, promotes enthusiasm, can direct others.	No basis for evaluation.
Adaptation to Formal Organizations, Rules & Policies (including safety guidelines)					
Not applicable.	Poor, unable to organize and motivate the work of others.	Adequate.	Above average.	Excellent, adapted to and recognized formal organization structures, rules and policies.	No basis for evaluation.
Attendance		Punctuality		Grooming/Appea	rance
Irregular.	Regular.	Irregular.	Regular.	Inappropriate.	Appropriate.
Overall Performance					
Unsatisfactory.	Needs improven	nent. 🗅 Satisfactor	y. 🗅 Abov	e average.	Excellent.

Areas of Strength

Areas for Improvement

Recommended Academic Exposure: (if applicable)

Recommended Work Experience: (if applicable)

Has the student been given feedback on his/her performance during the term?

€ _{Yes.} € _{No.}

Has the student made an effort to improve in areas noted?

Has the student made progress in areas noted?

O Yes. O No.

SECTION 3 - Achievement of Objectives

From the outline in Section 1, please indicate how well the student met the stated performance and professional objectives:

Performance Objectives (On the Job)

Supervisor's Comments:

Student's Comments:

Professional Objectives (Attitude & Behavior) Supervisor's Comments:

Student's Comments:

SECTION 4 - General Comments

Comment on Overall Performance

Supervisor's Comments:

Student's Comments:

EMPLOYER

Date:

Name and title of person completing this evaluation form - (usually the supervisor, a manager or a representative of human resources)

Name:				
Title:	Date:			
We urge that you discuss the evaluation with the student.				
STUDENT'S SIGNATURE:				
Reviewed by Co-operative Education.				
ACADEMIC STAFF MEMBER'S SIGNATURE:				
Reviewed by Academic Staff Member (ASM) in Co-operative Education, Business.				