Biology 4605/7220
EXAM #2

Name		
	10 November	1992

In ANALYSIS I (attached) Ivlev (1961, Experimental Ecology of the Feeding of Fishes) reported the time to starvation D50 of Bleak <u>Alburnus alburnus</u> in relation to age (A = days) and body length (BL = mm). D50 is the number of days to 50% mortality.

In ANALYSIS II (attached) Steel and Torrie (Principles and Procedures of Statistics 1960) report oat yield (Yield = bushels/acre) from for treated and untreated seeds infected by *H. victoriae*.

In ANALYSIS III (attached) Steel and Torrie (1960) report oat yields for treated and untreated seeds that were <u>not</u> infected by *H. victoriae*.

- 1. For ANALYSIS I.
- a. Write a pair of hypotheses (H<sub>o</sub>/H<sub>A</sub>) about D50 of Bleak as a function of age A.
- b. State a criterion of significance  $\alpha =$
- c. Declare a decision about D50 in relation to age (and report p-value with statement of decision).

Starvation depends on metabolic rate, which scales as body volume<sup>2/3</sup> or as body length<sup>2</sup>. A plot for Bleak is shown in ANALYSIS I.

d. Write a pair of hypotheses  $(H_0/H_A)$  about D50 of Bleak as a function of size  $(BLsq = mm^2)$ .

e. Using the criterion you stated above, declare a decision about D50 in relation to BLsq (and report p-value with statement of decision).

2f.	Size and age are highly correlated in Ivlev's experiment (see ANALYSIS I). Design and explain an experiment to decide whether starvation in bleak depends on age, or size, or both.
2. Fo	or ANALYSIS II.
a. C	omplete the missing line (3 blanks) in the ANOVA table.
b.	The treatment effects are significant at $\alpha = 1\%$ in one test (p=0.001) but not in the other (p = 0.026). Explain why the decision for one test differs from that of the other in ANALYSIS II.
**	
c. W	Which of the two tests would you use? (Explain why).

3.	For ANALYSIS III.
a.	Write a complete General Linear Model of Yield in terms of Infection (inf) and chemical treatment (tr).
b.	Calculate an F-statistic for each of the 3 explanatory terms in the model (fill in 3 blanks in ANALYSIS III).
c.	Declare a decision (at $\alpha = 0.05$ ) for each explanatory term (report p-values).
d.	The manufacturer of Ceresan chemical treatment challenges your conclusion in ANALYSIS III on the grounds that the assumptions for ANOVA were not met. How would you answer this challenge to your analysis?
e.	The manufacturer of Ceresan chemical treatment challenges your conclusion in ANALYSIS III on the grounds that Type II error was high. How would you handle this challenge to your analysis?

## ANALYSIS I (Bleak)

Data from Ivlev (1961 Table 77). age in days, D50 in days, BL = mm MTB > print c1-c4

ROW	age	BL	BLsq	D50	
1 2 3 4 5	0.1 10.0 25.0 50.0 75.0 100.0	4 8 14 22 27 33	16 64 196 484 729 1089	3 11 21 51 68 109	

MTB > plot 'D50' 'age'

MTB > regress 'D50' 1 'age'

The regression equation is D50 = -0.72 + 1.03 age

Predictor	Coef	Stdev	t-ratio	р
Constant	-0.716	3.933	-0.18	0.864
age	1.02767	0.07018	14.64	0.000

s = 6.108 R-sq = 98.2% R-sq(adj) = 97.7%

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F	р
Regression	1	7999.6	7999.6	214.45	0.000
Error	4	149.2	37.3		
Total	5	8148.8			

## 

MS

8114.2

8.7

936.55 0.000

MTB > !Analysis of BLEAK

5

SS

34.7

8148.8

8114.2

Analysis of Variance

SOURCE DF

Total

Regression 1 Error 4

## ANALYSIS II (Ceresan)

Data from Steel and Torrie 1960 p237. Yield of oats (Vicland, infected with H. victoriae) in bushels/acre Chemical seed treatment (Ceresan) compared to control in 4 blocks.

MTB > print c1 c2

ROW control ceresan

1 42.9 53.8 2 41.6 58.5 3 28.9 43.9 4 30.8 46.3

MTB > anova 'yield' = 'tr'

Factor Type Levels Values tr fixed 2 0 1

Analysis of Variance for yield

Source DF SS MS 424.86 tr 1 424.9 8.72 0.026 Error 6 292.4 48.74 Total 7 717.3 102.47

MTB > anova 'yield' = 'tr' 'block'; SUBC> random 'block'.

Factor Type Levels Values tr fixed 2 0 1 block random 4 1 2 3 4

Analysis of Variance for yield

F Source DF SS MS 424.861 127.79 0.001 1 424.861 tr block 28.32 0.011 <---BLANKS HERE 3.325 9.974 Error 7 Total 717.299 102.471

MTB > print c3-c5

ROW	yield	tr	block
1	42.9	0	1
2	41.6	0	2
3	28.9	0	3
4	30.8	0	4
5	53.8	1	1
6	58.5	1	2
7	43.9	1	3
8	46.3	1	4

## ANALYSIS III (Ceresan)

Data from Steel and Torrie 1960. p237. Yield of oats, in bushels/acre. inf = infected or not

tr = Ceresan chemical seed treatment or not

MTB > name c7 'tr' MTB > print c5-c7

ROW	yield	inf	tr
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	42.9 41.6 28.9 30.8 53.8 58.5 43.9 46.3 53.3 69.6 45.4 35.1 57.6 69.6 42.4 51.9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0
	0 = 0 3	_	_

MTB > anova 'yield' = 'inf' 'tr' 'inf'\*'tr'

Factor	Type	Levels	Values	
inf	fixed	2	0	1
tr	fixed	2	0	1

Analysis of Variance for yield

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
inf	1	382.2	382.2		0.086
tr	1	364.8	364.8		0.093
inf*tr	1	101.0	101.0		0.356
Error	12	1315.5	109.6		
Total	15	2163.5	144.2		

MTB > hist 'res'

Histogram of res N = 16

Midpoint -15 -10	Count 2	**
-10 -5	6	*****
-5	2.	**
5	3	***
10	J 1	*
	1	*
15	1	*
20		*