

Using the following format, complete exercises 18.7 18.13 18.18 18.28 18.34 in Sokal and Rohlf 1995.

(A) Define variables in a tabular format, as follows.

<u>name</u>	<u>symbol</u>	<u>scale</u>
-------------	---------------	--------------

scale = nominal, ordinal, or cardinal

cardinal = interval or ratio scale.

(B) Using the symbols, write a general linear model relating the response variable to explanatory variable(s) and interaction terms (if appropriate).

(C) Complete the first two columns of the ANOVA table 

<u>source</u>	<u>df</u>
---------------	-----------

(D) State the name of the analysis, from the following list.

t-test, one-way ANOVA, two-way ANOVA, three-way ANOVA

paired comparisons, randomized blocks, repeated measures

hierarchical (nested) ANOVA

regression, multiple regression,

1-way ANCOVA (= 1 nominal and 1 cardinal scale explanatory variable)

2-way ANCOVA (= 2 nominal and 1 cardinal scale explanatory variable)

none of the above.

Exercise 18.7 Sokal and Rohlf 1995. ....

A. ioP = inorganic phosphorus  $df_{tot} = 10 \cdot 14 - 1 = 139$

t = time (days)  $df_t = 14 - 1 = 13$

S = subjects (10)  $df_s = 10 - 1 = 9$

$df_{err} = 139 - 13 - 9 = 117$

D. Randomized blocks. To test for interaction (two-way ANOVA) take at least 2 measurements each morning, rather than one.

Exercise 18.13 ....

A.  $V_{O_2}$  = volume of  $O_2$  consumed.  $df_{tot} = 6 \cdot 7 - 1 = 41$

Tr = treatment (5 drugs + 1 control)  $df_{tr} = 5$

R = replicate (7 animals)  $df_{err} = 6(7 - 1) = 36$

D. One-way ANOVA. Check for constancy of error variance by plotting residuals versus fits.

If not constant, and p-values are far from criterion ( $\alpha = 5\%$ ) then undertake randomization to obtain more accurate p-value.

Exercise 18.18 .....

- A. M = body weight (kg)       $df_{\text{tot}} = 1243 - 1 = 1242$   
bp = bile pigment (%)
- D. Correlation, because explanatory variable X is not known.

Exercise 18.28 .....

- A.  $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=0}$  = prey size (0 = big, 1 = small)  $df_{\text{tot}} = 30 - 1 = 29$   
 $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=1}$  = prey size matched to  $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=0}$  by lag = 1  $df_{\text{lag}=1} = 29 - 1 = 28$   
 $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=2}$  = prey size matched to  $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=0}$  by lag = 2  $df_{\text{lag}=2} = 29 - 2 = 27$   
 $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=3}$  = prey size matched to  $P_{\text{size}}_{\text{lag}=0}$  by lag = 3  $df_{\text{lag}=3} = 29 - 3 = 26$
- D. Autocorrelation at lag 1, 2, 3, possibly more. Explanatory variable X unknown.  
Alternative: see question 6 on exam above----> randomization test.  
Alternative: as in question 6 above---> test fit to binomial outcome,  
with 50% success

Exercise 18.34 .....

- A.  $N$  = plant abundance  $df_{\text{tot}} = n - 1$   
 $pV1$  = physical variable 1 (e.g. light in lux)  
 $pV2$  = physical variable 2 (e.g. nutrients)  
 $bV1$  = biological variable 1 (e.g. distance to nearest tree)  
 $bV2$  = biological variable 2 (e.g. presence/absence of micorhizae in soil)
- D. Multiple regression, stepwise dropping of variables.  $df_{\text{err}} = df_{\text{tot}} - k$   
where  $k$  = number of variables in the model.