

A Short Introduction to Hopf Algebras

Lecture 6



Memorial
University of Newfoundland

Yorck Sommerhäuser

Nichols-Zoeller theorem

H : finite-dimensional Hopf algebra.

A : Hopf subalgebra.

Then H is free as a (left) A -module.

In particular: $\dim(A)$ divides $\dim(H)$.

Case of groups: Lagrange's theorem

G finite group, $H = K[G]$

U subgroup, $A = K[U]$

Lagrange's theorem:

$|U| = \dim(A)$ divides $|G| = \dim(H)$.

Summary

1. First trace formula:

$$\mathrm{tr}(R_h \circ S_H^{-2} \circ r_\varphi) = \lambda_H(h) \varphi(\Lambda_H)$$

2. In characteristic zero, semisimple Hopf algebras are cosemisimple.
3. Second trace formula:

$$\mathrm{tr}_{H^*}(S_H^{2*}) = \dim(H) \mathrm{tr}(S_H^{2*} |_{\chi_R H^*})$$

4. In characteristic zero, semisimple Hopf algebras are involutory.

Overview

1. The semisimple case
2. The Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem
3. Quasi-Frobenius algebras
4. The Nichols-Zoeller theorem
5. Hopf subalgebras of semisimple Hopf algebras

The semisimple case

H : semisimple Hopf algebra over a field of characteristic zero

A : a Hopf subalgebra. Then H is free as a left A -module.

Proof: Lecture 5: H is involutory.

So A is involutory and therefore semisimple.

χ_R : character of the regular representation of H

χ'_R : character of the regular representation of A .

End of Lecture 5: χ_R is a two-sided integral of H^* .

χ'_R is a two-sided integral of A^* .

Clearly, the restriction of χ_R to A is also a two-sided integral of A^* .

The space of integrals is one-dimensional: $\chi_R|_A = m\chi'_R$ for $m \in K$.

Evaluating this equation at 1, we get

$$\dim(H) = \chi_R(1) = m\chi'_R(1) = m \dim(A) \Rightarrow m \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Maschke's theorem: There is an integral $\Lambda_A \in A$ with $\varepsilon(\Lambda_A) = 1$.

Proof of the second trace formula, Step 3: Also $\chi'_R(\Lambda_A) = 1$.

So $\Lambda_A^2 = \varepsilon(\Lambda_A)\Lambda_A = \Lambda_A$ is an idempotent.

So it maps to a projection in any representation.

Its trace in any representation is the dimension of the image.

Therefore $\chi_R(\Lambda_A)$ is an integer. But

$$\chi_R(\Lambda_A) = m\chi'_R(\Lambda_A) = m$$

so m is not only a rational number, but rather an integer.

We have $\chi_R|_A = m\chi'_R$ by definition of m .

Therefore $H \cong A^m$ as left A -modules, because the characters agree.

Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem

Suppose that A is an algebra and that M is a finite-dimensional module. Then there exists a decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$$

into (nonzero) indecomposable submodules. If

$$M = \bigoplus_{j=1}^l M'_j$$

is a second such decomposition, then $k = l$, and there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$ such that

$$M_{\sigma(i)} \cong M'_i$$

Corollary 1

Suppose that A is an algebra and that M and N are finite-dimensional modules. If

$$M^n \cong N^n$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $M \cong N$.

Proof:

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{m_i} \quad N \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{n_i}$$

where P_1, \dots, P_k are pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable modules.

$$M^n \cong N^n \Rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{nm_i} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{nn_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow nm_i = nn_i \Rightarrow m_i = n_i \Rightarrow M \cong N$$

Corollary 2

Suppose that A is an algebra and that M , N , and L are finite-dimensional modules. If

$$M \oplus L \cong N \oplus L$$

then $M \cong N$.

Proof:

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{m_i} \quad N \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{n_i} \quad L \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{l_i}$$

where P_1, \dots, P_k are pairwise nonisomorphic indecomposable modules.

$$\begin{aligned} M \oplus L \cong N \oplus L &\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{m_i+l_i} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i^{n_i+l_i} \\ &\Rightarrow m_i + l_i = n_i + l_i \Rightarrow m_i = n_i \Rightarrow M \cong N \end{aligned}$$

Dualization

If M is a right module over an algebra A , then the dual space $M^* = \text{Hom}_K(M, K)$ is a left A -module via

$$(a\varphi)(m) = \varphi(ma)$$

and in a similar way, the dual space of a left module becomes a right module via $(\varphi a)(m) = \varphi(am)$.

Biduals

Define the canonical injection $\theta_M : M \rightarrow M^{**}$ via

$$\theta_M(m)(\varphi) = \varphi(m)$$

for $m \in M$ and $\varphi \in M^*$. θ_M is A -linear on the left or the right, depending on the situation.

If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is A -linear, or even only K -linear, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^{**} & \xrightarrow{f^{**}} & N^{**} \\ \theta_M \uparrow & & \uparrow \theta_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

is commutative:

$$\begin{aligned} ((f^{**} \circ \theta_M)(m))(\varphi) &= \theta_M(m)(f^*(\varphi)) = f^*(\varphi)(m) \\ &= \varphi(f(m)) = ((\theta_N \circ f)(m))(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

The mappings $\theta_{M^*} : M^* \rightarrow M^{***}$ and $\theta_M^* : M^{***} \rightarrow M^*$ can be composed.

Their composition $\theta_M^* \circ \theta_{M^*}$ is the identity:

For $m \in M$ and $\varphi \in M^*$, we have that

$$((\theta_M^* \circ \theta_{M^*})(\varphi))(m) = \theta_{M^*}(\varphi)(\theta_M(m)) = \theta_M(m)(\varphi) = \varphi(m)$$

Lemma

If M is a projective right module, then M^* is an injective left module.

Proof:

Suppose that $f : M^* \rightarrow N$ is an injective left A -linear map.

We have to find an A -linear map $g : N \rightarrow M^*$ with $g \circ f = \text{id}_{M^*}$.

Certainly, there is a K -linear map $\tilde{g} : N \rightarrow M^*$ with $\tilde{g} \circ f = \text{id}_{M^*}$.

Take the transpose: $f^* \circ \tilde{g}^* = \text{id}_{M^{**}}$, so f^* is surjective.

Since M is projective, there is an A -linear map $h : M \rightarrow N^*$ that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M & \\ h \swarrow & & \searrow \theta_M \\ N^* & \xrightarrow{f^*} & M^{**} \end{array}$$

commutative. Then $g := h^* \circ \theta_N$ satisfies our requirement:

$$g \circ f = h^* \circ \theta_N \circ f = h^* \circ f^{**} \circ \theta_{M^*} = (f^* \circ h)^* \circ \theta_{M^*} = \theta_M^* \circ \theta_{M^*} = \text{id}_{M^*}$$

Quasi-Frobenius algebras

A finite-dimensional algebra is called a quasi-Frobenius algebra

\Leftrightarrow The left regular module is injective.

Remark: Every Frobenius algebra is a quasi-Frobenius algebra.

Proof: Suppose that $\phi : A \rightarrow K$ is the Frobenius homomorphism.

Then

$$A \rightarrow A^*, a \mapsto \phi(- a)$$

is bijective and left A -linear:

$$a' \phi(- a) = \phi(- a' a)$$

A projective \Rightarrow preceding lemma applies.

Proposition 1

Let A be a quasi-Frobenius algebra and

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i$$

be a decomposition into indecomposable (nonzero) left ideals.

Then every P_i contains exactly one minimal (nonzero) left ideal.

Proof: Existence: By finite-dimensionality.

Uniqueness: $L_1, L_2 \subset P_i$ distinct minimal left ideals.

Note: P_i injective. (Hilton/Stammbach, Prop. I.6.3).

Consider maximal essential extensions E_1 and E_2 of L_1 and L_2 .

E_1 and E_2 are injective. (Hilton/Stammbach, Thm. I.9.2).

$L_1 \cap E_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow L_1 \cap L_2 \neq 0$ (essential extensions)

Contradiction. Similarly: $L_2 \cap E_1 = 0$.

This implies: $E_1 \cap E_2 = 0$

(Again essential: $E_1 \cap E_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow E_1 \cap L_2 \neq 0$)

Now $E_1 \oplus E_2$ is injective.

Thus: $P_i = E_1 \oplus E_2 \oplus L$ for some left ideal L

(Hilton/Stammbach, Thm. I.8.4(4)).

Contradiction to P_i indecomposable.

Proposition 2

Let A be a quasi-Frobenius algebra and

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i$$

be a decomposition into indecomposable left ideals.

Let M be a (left) A -module.

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. P_i is isomorphic to a direct summand of M .
2. There exist $m \in M$ and $a \in \text{Soc}(P_i)$ such that $am \neq 0$.

1. \Rightarrow 2.

Write

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^k e_j, \quad e_j \in P_j$$

Let $f : P_i \rightarrow M$ be A -linear injective.

Put $m := f(e_i)$.

For $a \in \text{Soc}(P_i) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^k ae_j \Rightarrow a = ae_i$$

Therefore

$$am = af(e_i) = f(ae_i) = f(a) \neq 0$$

2. \Rightarrow 1.

Suppose that $m \in M$ and $a \in \text{Soc}(P_i)$ such that $am \neq 0$. Consider

$$f : P_i \rightarrow M, b \mapsto bm$$

f not injective $\Rightarrow \ker(f)$ nonzero left ideal

\Rightarrow (Prop. 1): $\text{Soc}(P_i) \subset \ker(f) \Rightarrow am = 0$. Contradiction.

Therefore f injective. $f(P_i)$ is a direct summand (Hilton/Stammbach, Thm. I.8.4(4)).

Remark:

Proof shows: For $f : P_i \rightarrow M$, we have

$$f \text{ injective} \Leftrightarrow f|_{\text{Soc}(P_i)} \text{ injective} \Leftrightarrow f|_{\text{Soc}(P_i)} \neq 0$$

Proposition 3

Let A be a quasi-Frobenius algebra and

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i$$

be a decomposition into indecomposable left ideals.

Enumerate: P_1, \dots, P_l pairwise nonisomorphic;

every P_{l+1}, \dots, P_k isomorphic to one of P_1, \dots, P_l .

Let M be a finite-dimensional A -module.

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. M is faithful.
2. $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of M .
3. Every P_i is isomorphic to a direct summand of M .

1. \Rightarrow 2.

Inductively: $\bigoplus_{i=1}^j P_i$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of M .

$j = 0$: Clear.

Induction step: $j \rightarrow j + 1$.

Choose $a \in \text{Soc}(P_{j+1}) \setminus \{0\}$.

M faithful \Rightarrow Exists $m \in M$ with $am \neq 0$.

Decompose: $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, $M_1 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^j P_i$

$m = m_1 + m_2 \Rightarrow am_1 \neq 0$ or $am_2 \neq 0$

Assume: $am_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ (Prop. 2)

P_{j+1} is direct summand in $M_1 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^j P_i$.

Contradiction to Krull-Remak-Schmidt.

Therefore: $am_1 = 0 \Rightarrow am_2 \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow P_{j+1}$ is a direct summand in M_2 .

2. \Rightarrow 3.: Clear

3. \Rightarrow 1.

Suppose that $a \in A$ annihilates M .

Then it annihilates every P_i .

Then it annihilates A .

But then $a = 0$.

Proposition 4

A : quasi-Frobenius algebra.

M : finite-dimensional A -module.

Claim: There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that M^n can be decomposed in the form

$$M^n = F \oplus N$$

where F is free and N is not faithful.

Proof

Decompose A into indecomposable left ideals: $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k P_i$

Enumerate: P_1, \dots, P_l pairwise nonisomorphic;

every P_{l+1}, \dots, P_k isomorphic to one of P_1, \dots, P_l .

Then: $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^l P_i^{n_i}$

Decompose M into indecomposable submodules: $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i$

P : Sum of those isomorphic to some P_i .

M' : Sum of the others $\Rightarrow M = P \oplus M'$

$$P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^l P_i^{m_i}$$

If some $m_i = 0$: M not faithful, assertion correct.

Determine $j \leq l$ such that

$$\frac{m_j}{n_j} = \min \left\{ \frac{m_i}{n_i} \mid i = 1, \dots, l \right\}$$

$n := n_j$, $m := m_j$. Then

$$nm_i - mn_i \geq 0 \quad nm_j - mn_j = 0$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M^n &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l P_i^{nm_i} \right) \oplus M'^n \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l P_i^{mn_i} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l P_i^{nm_i - mn_i} \right) \oplus M'^n \\ &\cong A^m \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l P_i^{nm_i - mn_i} \right) \oplus M'^n \cong F \oplus N \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Suppose that A is a finite-dimensional augmented algebra and that M is a finite-dimensional A -module.

If M^n is free for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then M is free.

Proof: Augmentation: $\varepsilon_A : A \rightarrow K$

K is an A -module via $a \cdot \lambda := \varepsilon_A(a)\lambda$.

Tensor product preserves direct sums:

$$M^n \cong A^m \Rightarrow (M \otimes_A K)^n \cong (A \otimes_A K)^m \cong K^m$$

Take dimensions: $m = nl$, $l = \dim_K(M \otimes_A K)$.

Therefore: $M^n \cong (A^l)^n$

Corollary 1 to Krull-Remak-Schmidt: $M \cong A^l$

Repetition

H finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, V finite-dimensional module

Lecture 5, Lemma 2: $V \otimes H \cong H^{\dim(V)}$

W free H -module $\Rightarrow W \cong H^n \Rightarrow$

$$V \otimes W \cong (V \otimes H)^n \cong (H^{\dim(V)})^n \cong W^{\dim(V)}$$

Similarly:

$$W \otimes V \cong W^{\dim(V)}$$

Converse

H finite-dim. Hopf algebra, V, W finite-dim. modules

Suppose that

$$V \otimes W \cong W^{\dim(V)}$$

If V is faithful, then W is free.

Proof: Proposition 4: $W^n \cong F \oplus N$, F free, N not faithful.

$$V \otimes W^n \cong (W^n)^{\dim(V)}.$$

Preceding lemma: Suffices to show W^n free.

\Rightarrow can replace W^n by $W \Rightarrow W \cong F \oplus N$

Step 2

$$V \otimes W \cong W^{\dim(V)} \Rightarrow$$

$$(V \otimes F) \oplus (V \otimes N) \cong F^{\dim(V)} \oplus N^{\dim(V)}$$

By repetition: $V \otimes F \cong F^{\dim(V)}$

Corollary 2 to Krull-Remak-Schmidt: $V \otimes N \cong N^{\dim(V)}$

Step 3: $V^m \cong F' \oplus N'$, F' free, N' not faithful.

V faithful $\Rightarrow F' \neq 0$.

$$V^m \otimes N \cong N^{m \dim(V)} \Rightarrow$$

$$(F' \otimes N) \oplus (N' \otimes N) \cong N^{\dim(F')} \oplus N^{\dim(N')}$$

not faithful.

By repetition: $F' \otimes N \cong F'^{\dim(N)}$

Faithful if $N \neq 0$. Thus $N = 0$, W free.

Relative Hopf modules

Suppose that H is a Hopf algebra and that A is a Hopf subalgebra.

M is a left A - H -Hopf module $:\Leftrightarrow$

1. M is a left A -module.
2. M is a left H -comodule.
3. $\delta(a.m) = a_{(1)}m^{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}.m^{(2)}$

Example: $M = H$, Coaction=Comultiplication, i.e., $\delta = \Delta$.

Theorem

Suppose that H is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra and that A is a Hopf subalgebra.

Suppose that M is a finite-dimensional left A - H -Hopf module. Then M is a free A -module.

Proof: H is a faithful A -module.

It suffices to show: $H \otimes M \cong M^{\dim(H)}$.

As in repetition:

$$f : H \otimes M \rightarrow H \otimes M, h \otimes m \mapsto m^{(1)}h \otimes m^{(2)}$$

is A -linear. $f^{-1}(h \otimes m) = S_H^{-1}(m^{(1)})h \otimes m^{(2)}$

Hopf subalgebras of semisimple Hopf algebras

Suppose that A is a Hopf subalgebra of the semisimple Hopf algebra H . Then A is semisimple.

Proof: H is finite-dimensional. By the Nichols-Zoeller theorem:
Choose a basis h_1, \dots, h_n of the left A -module H .

Λ : nonzero left integral of H .

Expand it: $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i h_i$, with $a_i \in A$.

For $a \in A$, the equation $a\Lambda = \varepsilon(a)\Lambda$ reads

$$\sum_{i=1}^n aa_i h_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon(a) a_i h_i$$

Compare coefficients: $aa_i = \varepsilon(a)a_i$

So every a_i is a left integral of A .

If Λ' is a nonzero left integral of A , we have $a_i = \mu_i \Lambda'$ with $\mu_i \in K$.

Insert this back into original equation:

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \Lambda' h_i = \Lambda' \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i h_i \right)$$

Therefore $\varepsilon(\Lambda) = \varepsilon(\Lambda') \varepsilon(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i h_i)$.

By Maschke's theorem: $\varepsilon(\Lambda) \neq 0$.

So $\varepsilon(\Lambda') \neq 0$.

Again by Maschke's theorem: A is semisimple.