

Vladimir Shpilrain  
The City College of New York  
shpil@groups.sci.ccny.cuny.edu

March 1, 2012

# Decision, witness, and search problems in group theory

**Decision problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$ , find out whether or not the object  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Witness problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Search problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a “material evidence” of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

All decision problems in group theory have a “companion” witness version, and most of them also have a search version

# Decision, witness, and search problems in group theory

**Decision problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$ , find out whether or not the object  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Witness problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Search problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a “material evidence” of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

All decision problems in group theory have a “companion” witness version, and most of them also have a search version

# Decision, witness, and search problems in group theory

**Decision problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$ , find out whether or not the object  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Witness problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Search problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a “material evidence” of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

All decision problems in group theory have a “companion” witness version, and most of them also have a search version

# Decision, witness, and search problems in group theory

**Decision problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$ , find out whether or not the object  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Witness problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Search problems:** given a property  $\mathcal{P}$  and an object  $\mathcal{O}$  with the property  $\mathcal{P}$ , find a “material evidence” of the fact that  $\mathcal{O}$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

All decision problems in group theory have a “companion” witness version, and most of them also have a search version

# The word problem

Let  $G = \langle X; R \rangle = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m; r_1, \dots \rangle$  be a finite (or more generally, recursive) presentation of a group  $G$  by generators and defining relations.

Decision problem (WP): given a word  $w$  in the alphabet  $X$ , find out whether or not  $w$  is equal to 1 in  $G$  or, equivalently, whether or not  $w$  is in the normal closure of  $R$ .

Search problem (WSP): given that a word  $w$  is in the normal closure of  $R$ , find a presentation of  $w$  as a product of conjugates of  $r_i$  and  $r_i^{-1}$ .

**Note:** if in a group  $G$  the word problem is recursively unsolvable, then the length of a proof verifying that  $w = 1$  in  $G$  is not bounded by any recursive function of the length of  $w$ .

# The word problem

Let  $G = \langle X; R \rangle = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m; r_1, \dots \rangle$  be a finite (or more generally, recursive) presentation of a group  $G$  by generators and defining relations.

Decision problem (WP): given a word  $w$  in the alphabet  $X$ , find out whether or not  $w$  is equal to 1 in  $G$  or, equivalently, whether or not  $w$  is in the normal closure of  $R$ .

Search problem (WSP): given that a word  $w$  is in the normal closure of  $R$ , find a presentation of  $w$  as a product of conjugates of  $r_i$  and  $r_i^{-1}$ .

**Note:** if in a group  $G$  the word problem is recursively unsolvable, then the length of a proof verifying that  $w = 1$  in  $G$  is not bounded by any recursive function of the length of  $w$ .

# The word problem

Let  $G = \langle X; R \rangle = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m; r_1, \dots \rangle$  be a finite (or more generally, recursive) presentation of a group  $G$  by generators and defining relations.

Decision problem (WP): given a word  $w$  in the alphabet  $X$ , find out whether or not  $w$  is equal to 1 in  $G$  or, equivalently, whether or not  $w$  is in the normal closure of  $R$ .

Search problem (WSP): given that a word  $w$  is in the normal closure of  $R$ , find a presentation of  $w$  as a product of conjugates of  $r_i$  and  $r_i^{-1}$ .

**Note:** if in a group  $G$  the word problem is recursively unsolvable, then the length of a proof verifying that  $w = 1$  in  $G$  is not bounded by any recursive function of the length of  $w$ .



# The conjugacy problem

Decision problem (CP): given two words  $w_1, w_2$ , find out whether or not there is a word  $g$  such that the words  $g^{-1}w_1g$  and  $w_2$  represent the same element of the group  $G$ .

Search problem (CSP): given two words  $w_1, w_2$  representing conjugate elements of  $G$ , find a conjugator.

# The conjugacy problem

Decision problem (CP): given two words  $w_1, w_2$ , find out whether or not there is a word  $g$  such that the words  $g^{-1}w_1g$  and  $w_2$  represent the same element of the group  $G$ .

Search problem (CSP): given two words  $w_1, w_2$  representing conjugate elements of  $G$ , find a conjugator.

# The subgroup membership problem

Decision problem (MP): given a group  $G$ , a subgroup  $H$  generated by  $h_1, \dots, h_k$ , and an element  $g \in G$ , find out whether or not  $g \in H$ .

Search problem (MSP): given a group  $G$ , a subgroup  $H$  generated by  $h_1, \dots, h_k$ , and an element  $h \in H$ , find an expression of  $h$  as a word in  $h_1, \dots, h_k$ .

# The isomorphism problem

Decision problem (IP): given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , find out whether or not they are isomorphic.

Search problem (ISP): given two isomorphic finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , find an explicit isomorphism, i.e., a map  $\varphi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  which is:

- a homomorphism
- injective
- surjective

# The isomorphism problem

Decision problem (IP): given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , find out whether or not they are isomorphic.

Search problem (ISP): given two isomorphic finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , find an explicit isomorphism, i.e., a map  $\varphi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  which is:

- a homomorphism
- injective
- surjective

# The “no” part

“Yes” and “no” parts of decision problems are usually quite different!

- Non-identity witness problem
- Non-conjugacy witness problem
- Non-isomorphism witness problem
- Non-membership witness problem

**Note:** generically, i.e., on “most” inputs, the “no” answer can be given in linear time:

I. Kapovich, A. G. Myasnikov, P. Schupp, V. Shpilrain, *Generic-case complexity, decision problems in group theory and random walks*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 665–694.

# The “no” part

“Yes” and “no” parts of decision problems are usually quite different!

- Non-identity witness problem
- Non-conjugacy witness problem
- Non-isomorphism witness problem
- Non-membership witness problem

**Note:** generically, i.e., on “most” inputs, the “no” answer can be given in linear time:

I. Kapovich, A. G. Myasnikov, P. Schupp, V. Shpilrain, *Generic-case complexity, decision problems in group theory and random walks*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 665–694.

# The “no” part

“Yes” and “no” parts of decision problems are usually quite different!

- Non-identity witness problem
- Non-conjugacy witness problem
- Non-isomorphism witness problem
- Non-membership witness problem

**Note:** generically, i.e., on “most” inputs, the “no” answer can be given in linear time:

I. Kapovich, A. G. Myasnikov, P. Schupp, V. Shpilrain, *Generic-case complexity, decision problems in group theory and random walks*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 665–694.



# The “no” part

“Yes” and “no” parts of decision problems are usually quite different!

- Non-identity witness problem
- Non-conjugacy witness problem
- Non-isomorphism witness problem
- Non-membership witness problem

**Note:** generically, i.e., on “most” inputs, the “no” answer can be given in linear time:

I. Kapovich, A. G. Myasnikov, P. Schupp, V. Shpilrain, *Generic-case complexity, decision problems in group theory and random walks*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 665–694.

# The “no” part

“Yes” and “no” parts of decision problems are usually quite different!

- Non-identity witness problem
- Non-conjugacy witness problem
- Non-isomorphism witness problem
- Non-membership witness problem

**Note:** generically, i.e., on “most” inputs, the “no” answer can be given in linear time:

I. Kapovich, A. G. Myasnikov, P. Schupp, V. Shpilrain, *Generic-case complexity, decision problems in group theory and random walks*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 665–694.

# The “no” part

“Yes” and “no” parts of decision problems are usually quite different!

- Non-identity witness problem
- Non-conjugacy witness problem
- Non-isomorphism witness problem
- Non-membership witness problem

**Note:** generically, i.e., on “most” inputs, the “no” answer can be given in linear time:

I. Kapovich, A. G. Myasnikov, P. Schupp, V. Shpilrain, *Generic-case complexity, decision problems in group theory and random walks*, J. Algebra **264** (2003), 665–694.

# Ramifications

- (M. Chiodo) Is there a general procedure to produce a non-trivial element from a finite presentation of a non-trivial group?
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , elements  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ , and the information that  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  freely generate a free subgroup of  $G$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

Can both “yes” and “no” parts of a (natural) decision problem be non-recursive?

- Given a finitely presented group and the information that it is metabelian, find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.
- Given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and the information that there is an injective homomorphism (an embedding) of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

# Ramifications

- (M. Chiodo) Is there a general procedure to produce a non-trivial element from a finite presentation of a non-trivial group?
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , elements  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ , and the information that  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  freely generate a free subgroup of  $G$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

Can both “yes” and “no” parts of a (natural) decision problem be non-recursive?

- Given a finitely presented group and the information that it is metabelian, find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.
- Given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and the information that there is an injective homomorphism (an embedding) of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

# Ramifications

- (M. Chiodo) Is there a general procedure to produce a non-trivial element from a finite presentation of a non-trivial group?
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , elements  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ , and the information that  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  freely generate a free subgroup of  $G$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

Can both “yes” and “no” parts of a (natural) decision problem be non-recursive?

- Given a finitely presented group and the information that it is metabelian, find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.
- Given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and the information that there is an injective homomorphism (an embedding) of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

# Ramifications

- (M. Chiodo) Is there a general procedure to produce a non-trivial element from a finite presentation of a non-trivial group?
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , elements  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ , and the information that  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  freely generate a free subgroup of  $G$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

Can both “yes” and “no” parts of a (natural) decision problem be non-recursive?

- Given a finitely presented group and the information that it is metabelian, find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.
- Given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and the information that there is an injective homomorphism (an embedding) of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

- (M. Chiodo) Is there a general procedure to produce a non-trivial element from a finite presentation of a non-trivial group?
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , elements  $h_1, \dots, h_k \in G$ , and the information that  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  freely generate a free subgroup of  $G$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.

Can both “yes” and “no” parts of a (natural) decision problem be non-recursive?

- Given a finitely presented group and the information that it is metabelian, find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.
- Given two finitely presented groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and the information that there is an injective homomorphism (an embedding) of  $G_1$  into  $G_2$ , find a proof (a “witness”) of that fact.



# Stratification: converting search problems to decision problems

## Stratification of the conjugacy search problem

Given two words  $w_1, w_2$  representing conjugate elements of  $G$ , and a positive integer  $k$ , is there a word  $g$  of length at most  $k$  such that  $g^{-1}w_1g$  and  $w_2$  represent the same element of  $G$ ?

**Warning.** The conjugacy search problem is algorithmically solvable in any recursively presented group  $G$ , whereas the problem above may not be if the word problem in  $G$  is algorithmically unsolvable.

# Stratification: converting search problems to decision problems

## Stratification of the conjugacy search problem

Given two words  $w_1, w_2$  representing conjugate elements of  $G$ , and a positive integer  $k$ , is there a word  $g$  of length at most  $k$  such that  $g^{-1}w_1g$  and  $w_2$  represent the same element of  $G$ ?

**Warning.** The conjugacy search problem is algorithmically solvable in any recursively presented group  $G$ , whereas the problem above may not be if the word problem in  $G$  is algorithmically unsolvable.

## Geodesic problem:

Given a word  $w$ , a group  $G$ , and a positive integer  $k$ , is there a word  $g$  of length at most  $k$ , which is equal to  $w$  in  $G$ ?

**NP**-hard in some groups  $G$ , including, somewhat surprisingly, the free metabelian group of rank 2 (Myasnikov-Roman'kov-Ushakov-Vershik), where it is actually **NP**-complete.

- By the sum of the lengths of images of the generators under a given isomorphism.
- By the length of a sequence of Tietze transformations establishing an isomorphism between groups.

# Stratification: ISP

- By the sum of the lengths of images of the generators under a given isomorphism.
- By the length of a sequence of Tietze transformations establishing an isomorphism between groups.

Thank you