

The Kostrikin radical in characteristic zero

Esther García

`esther.garcia@urjc.es`

Universidad Rey Juan Carlos
Madrid (Spain)

Newfoundland, July 18-23, 2010

(joint work with M. Gómez Lozano)

What do we know in char 0?

Condition $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$

\forall quotient of L , \forall (no limit) ordinal β ,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} M \text{ submodule of } K_\beta(L)/K_{\beta-1}(L) \\ M \text{ invariant under inner automorphisms} \end{array} \right\} \implies M \text{ ideal}$$

Theorem

L nondegenerate, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, every ideal with Jordan elements.

Then $K(L) = \bigcap (\text{str. prime ideals of } L) = 0$.

Main example

L over a field of characteristic zero $\implies \hat{\mathcal{H}}$

Can we do better?

Suggestion by Efim Zelmanov:

USE GENERALIZED M -SEQUENCES!!

(e-mails and manuscript notes exchanged with E. Zelmanov)



Theorem

L over a field of characteristic zero

- $K(L) = \{a \in L \mid \text{finite generalized } m\text{-sequence}\}$
- $K(L) = \bigcap (\text{str. prime ideals of } L)$
- L nondegenerate $\Rightarrow L$ subdirect product of str. prime algebras.

The sets $B_n(L)$: $K_1(L) = \bigcup_n B_n(L)$

$$B_n(L) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n [[a_i, b_{i_1}], \dots, b_{i_{k_i}}] \mid 0 \leq k_i \leq n, b_{i_j} \in L \right\}$$

$$(\text{ad}_{a_i}^2 = 0, i = 1, \dots, n)$$

The functions $f(n, r)$:

Lemma

$\forall n, r \in \mathbb{N} \exists f(n, r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall L$ of char 0 and $\forall a \in B_n(L)$

$$\text{ad}_{[[a, b_1], \dots, b_k]}^{f(n, r)} b_0 = 0 \text{ for every } b_0, \dots, b_k \in L, 0 \leq k \leq r.$$

Important:

- $f(n, r)$ exists with independence of the Lie algebra
- \underline{n} for the set $B_n(L)$, \underline{r} for how many arbitrary elements $b_i \in L$

Construction of the $f(n, r)$:

We want $\text{ad}_{[[a, b_1], \dots, b_k]}^{f(n, r)} b_0 = 0$

Remember that $a \in B_n(L)$ has the form $\sum_{i=1}^n [[[a_i, b_{i_1}], \dots, b_{i_{k_i}}]]$

New variables:

$$X := \{x_0\} \cup \{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x_{ij} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

- x_0 for where we evaluate, “ b_0 ”
- x_i for the absolute zero divisors “ a_i ” in the construction of “ a ”
- x_{ij} for the “arbitrary” elements “ b_{ij} ” in the construction of “ a ”
- y_i for the “arbitrary” elements “ b_i ”

$\mathcal{L}[X]$ free Lie algebra,

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}}[X] = \mathcal{L}[X] / \text{Id}_{\mathcal{L}[X]}(\text{ad}_{x_i}^2 \mathcal{L}[X] \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$$

Construction of the $f(n, r)$:

We want $\text{ad}_{[[a, b_1], \dots, b_k]}^{f(n, r)} b_0 = 0$, $a = \sum_{i=1}^n [[a_i, b_{i_1}], \dots, b_{i_{k_i}}]$
 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}[X]$ “free” with absolute zero divisors “ x_i ”

To imitate elements of the form $[[a, b_1], \dots, b_k]$ we build the sets:

$$A_{n, r} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n [[[\bar{x}_i, \bar{x}_{i_1}], \dots, \bar{x}_{i_{k_i}}], \bar{y}_1], \dots, \bar{y}_k \mid 0 \leq k_i \leq n, 0 \leq k \leq r \right\}.$$

Important: $A_{n, r} \subset K_1(\bar{\mathcal{L}}[X])$ and **finite** \implies also $A_{n, r} \cup [A_{n, r}, x_0]$
 $\implies A_{n, r} \cup [A_{n, r}, x_0]$ generates a nilpotent subalgebra $D_{n, r}$

\Downarrow

$\exists f(n, r) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D_{n, r}^{f(n, r)} = 0$ (rmk: $f(n, r) \geq 3$)

Generalized m-sequence:

Definition

$\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $c_1 \in L$ and each c_{i+1} has form

$$\text{ad}_{c_i}^{q_i} x_0, \text{ad}_{[c_i, x_1]}^{q_i} x_0, \text{ or } \text{ad}_{[[c_i, x_1], x_2]}^{q_i} x_0$$

for some $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in L$ and $q_i = f(i, 3i + 2) \leftarrow$ (technical)

Remark:

$$\text{ad}_{c_i}^{q_i} x_0 \in [c_i, [c_i, [c_i, L]] \subset [[[c_i, L], L], L]$$

$$\text{ad}_{[c_i, x_1]}^{q_i} x_0 \in [[c_i, x_1], [[c_i, x_1], L]] \subset [[[c_i, L], L], L]$$

$$\text{ad}_{[[c_i, x_1], x_2]}^{q_i} x_0 \in [[[c_i, x_1], x_2], L] \subset [[[c_i, L], L], L]$$

Why generalized m-sequences?

Proposition 1


$\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with some $c_i \in K(L) \Rightarrow$ finite length

Proof: (transfinite induction)

if $c_i \in K_1(L)$, c_i in some $B_n(L)$ (assume $n \geq i$)

$$c_{i+1} \in \underbrace{[[[c_i, L], L], L]}_3, \quad c_{i+2} \in \underbrace{[[[c_{i+1}, L], L], L]}_3 \subset \underbrace{[[[c_i, L], \dots, L]]}_{3 \cdot 2},$$

$$\text{so } c_n \in \underbrace{[[[c_i, L], \dots, L]]}_{3(n-i)} \text{ hence } c_{n+1} = 0$$

(since $q_n = f(n, 3n + 2)$) 

Why three types of elements in a generalized m-sequence?

Proposition 2

If $a \in L$ and $\exists q \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\text{ad}_a^q x_0 = \text{ad}_{[a, x_1]}^q x_0 = \text{ad}_{[[a, x_1], x_2]}^q x_0 = 0, \quad \text{for all } x_0, x_1, x_2 \in L$$

then $a \in K(L)$.

Proof: (work in $L/K(L)$, assume L nondegenerate and $a \neq 0$)

- either $b = a$ or $b \in [a, L]$ has index of ad-nilpotency 3
- consider $L_b = (L/\ker(b), \bullet)$, $x \bullet y := \frac{1}{2}[[x, b], y]$
- L_b is nilpotent of index $\leq q + 1$ $(\bar{x}^{(q+1, b)} = \frac{1}{2^{q-1}} \overline{\text{ad}_{[x, b]}^q x})$
- L_b radical in the sense of McCrimmon + L_b nondegenerate
- $L_b = 0 \implies L = \ker(b)$, $[b, [b, L]] = 0$ CONTRADICTION

Proposition 3

$a \notin K(L) \implies \exists$ infinite $\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $c_0 = a$

Proof: (work in $L/K(L)$, $a \neq 0$)

If $c_i \neq 0$ then $c_{i+1} \neq 0$:

$$\text{otherwise } \text{ad}_{c_i}^{q_i} x_0 = \text{ad}_{[c_i, x_1]}^{q_i} x_0 = \text{ad}_{[[c_i, x_1], x_2]}^{q_i} x_0 = 0 \implies c_i = 0$$

Theorem 1 (Proposition 1 + Proposition 3)

$$K(L) = \{a \in L \mid \text{every } \{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ with } c_0 = a \text{ is } \underline{\text{finite}}\}$$

Remember P str. prime ideal if L/P is prime and nondegenerate.

Proposition 4

$\{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ infinite and P maximal with respect to $P \cap \{c_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = \emptyset$
 \Downarrow
 P is str. prime ideal

(notice that each $c_{i+1} \in [\text{Id}_L(c_i), \text{Id}_L(c_i)]$)

Theorem 2

$$K(L) = \bigcap (\text{str. prime ideals of } L)$$

Corollary

L nondegenerate \implies subdirect product of str. prime algebras.

References:

- E. García, M. Gómez Lozano “A characterization of the Kostrikin radical of a Lie algebra” (preprint)
<http://homepage.uibk.ac.at/~c70202/jordan/> [287].
- E. Zelmanov “Lie algebras with an algebraic adjoint representation”. *Math. USSR Sbornik* **49** (1984), 537-552.