



Newfoundland & Labrador, Canada

**MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY**  
**DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE**  
**POLITICAL SCIENCE 4790**  
**THE PUBLIC POLICY PROCESS**  
**WINTER 2009**

**Dr. Christopher Dunn,**  
**Instructor**  
**Phone: 737-8178**  
**Office: S-2045**

**Office Hours:**  
**Tuesday: 12:00-1:00 p.m.**  
**Thursday: 12:00-1:00 p.m.**  
**or by appointment**

This course will seek to elucidate public policy theory by organizing it according to relevant schools and approaches. It gives significant attention to the most prominent approach, the policy cycles or “stages” approach, but puts it in the context of other approaches, or “traditions” in the public policy field. .

**REQUIRED TEXTS:**

Howlett Michael A., Perl and M. Ramesh, *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems* (Toronto: OUP, 2009)

Parsons, Wayne. 1995. *Public Policy* (Aldershot, UK: Edward Elgar

Kingdon, John W. 2003. *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*, second edition. New York: Longman,

## **RECOMMENDED TEXTS:**

- Anderson, J. E. 2006. *Public policymaking: an introduction*. Boston, Houghton na
- Bardach, E. 2000 *A Practical Guide for Policy Analysis: The Eightfold Path to More Effective Problem Solving*. New York: Chatham House Publishers.
- Baumgartner, Frank R. and Bryan D. Jones. 1993. *Agendas and Instability in American Politics*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press JK 468 P64 B38 1993
- Birkland, [Thomas A.](#) 2005. *An Introduction To The Policy Process: Theories, Concepts, And Models Of Public Policy Making* (second edition) Armonk: M.E. Sharpe
- Carley, M . 1980. *Rational Techniques in Policy Analysis* (London: Policy Studies Institute/Heinemann,). H 61 C25
- Dye, T. R. 2008. *Understanding public policy*. Upper Saddle River, N.J., Pearson/Prentice Hall.
- Fischer, Frank, Gerald J. Miller, and Mara S. idney, eds. 2007. *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis: Theory, Politics, and Methods*. Boca Raton: CRC Press
- Gerston, Larry N., Public policy making : process and principles M.E. Sharpe, 2004 JK 468 P64 G47 2004 (Grenfell)
- Geva-May, Iris. *An operational approach to policy analysis : the craft : prescriptions for better analysis*. Boston, Dordrecht, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers. H 97 G48 1997
- Ham, Christopher and Michael Hill. 1993. *The Policy Process in the Modern Capitalist State*. 2nd ed. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf. H 97 H35 1984
- Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd.
- Hogwood B.W. and L.W. Gunn. 1983. *Policy Analysis for the Real World*. New York: St. Martin's Press. H 97 H625 1984
- John, Peter. 1998. *Analysing Public Policy*. London and New York: Pinter. H 97 J65 1998
- Lane, Jan-Erik. 2000. *The Public Sector: Concepts, Models and Approaches*. 3rd ed. London: SAGE. JF 1351 L37 1993
- Lester, James P. and Joseph Stewart, Jr. 2000. *Public Policy: An Evolution Approach*. 2nd Ed. Belmont, Calif.: Wadsworth.

Lindblom, Charles E and Edward J. Woodhouse. 1993. *The Policy-Making Process*. 3rd ed.

Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall. JK 271 L52 1993

Moran Michael, Martin Rein, and Robert E. Goodin, eds., 2006. *Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*. Oxford: Oxford UP. H 97 O88 2006

Munger, Michael C. *Analyzing Policy: Choices, Conflicts, and Practices* (New York: Norton, 2000).

Pal, Leslie Alexander. 2006. *Beyond policy analysis : public issue management in turbulent times 3rd ed.* Scarborough: Thomson Nelson Learning. H 97 P33 2006

Sabatier, Paul A. ed. 2007. *Theories of the Policy Process*, second edition. Boulder: Westview,.

Stone, Deborah. 2002. *Policy Paradox: The Art of Political Decision Making*, revised ed. New York: Norton, (1997 edition: H 97 S83 1997)

Theodoulou, S. Z. and M. A. Cahn. *Public policy : the essential readings*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J., Prentice Hall. 1995

Weimer, David L. and Aidan R. Vining. 1999. *Policy Analysis: Concepts and Practice*. 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Prentice-Hall. na

Wildavsky, Aaron. 1979, 1987. *Speaking Truth to Power: The Art and Craft of Policy Analysis* Boston: Little, Brown H 61 W554 1987

### **Objectives**

This course is a basic overview of the public policy-making process and other approaches to public policy. It will provide students with:

- 1) a basic knowledge of the definitions, major theories covering the conceptions and processes of public policy-making; and
- 2) an understanding of actors involved in the policy-making process.

### **Learning Outcomes**

After completing this course, students will be able:

1. define and explain the various traditions of public policy
1. to understand why government intervenes in the society and economy;
2. to understand the complexity of public policy making and intergovernmental affairs; and
3. to assess the realism of change in public policy.

## Assessment of Learning Outcomes

### Students will be assessed by:

1. participation (20%);
2. book review (20%)
3. lectures prepared and delivered by themselves (20%)
4. written tests during the term, dates to be announced (40%)
5. participation, including but not limited to discussant duties.

## ASSIGNMENTS AND MARKS

Written Lecture*.....	20%	Dates as chosen. Grad students only present.
Book Review.....	20%	Due Feb 12, on Kingdon Text
Participation.....	20%	Throughout the course
Test #1.....	20%	Date TBA
Test #2.....	20%	Date TBA

\*Lectures are meant to be both training for later teaching skills and review of course material. They

1. should be the regular MUN class length (50 minutes). They will be delivered in class time.
2. should show evidence of reading and assessing the literature in the course outline and bibliography, in one "topic" area (or even two). They should not simply be summaries of "standard textbook" chapters, although these may be referred to for guidance
3. should constitute an authoritative and comprehensive overview of the area in question. They should also be a critical analysis of the policy tradition in question and self-consciously measure the relative strengths and weaknesses of the particular approach vis-à-vis others covered in the course.
4. should be each approximately 10-12 *single-spaced* pages
5. should have appropriate endnotes and bibliography

## READINGS

Students will be expected to keep up with course readings, and be able to discuss and assess them authoritatively. Readings will be assigned in preceding lectures, but will generally be the asterisked (\*) material.

## **BASIC COURSE OUTLINE**

**I. What is public policy?**

**II. Different Policy Traditions: Varieties of Approaches and Analytic Frameworks in Public Policy**

**Different Policy Traditions in Particular:**

**III. Philosophical**

**IV. Psychological**

**V. Public Choice**

**VI. Pluralism and Neo-Pluralism and Corporatism.**

**VII. Elitism.**

**VIII. Neo-Marxist,**

**IX. Welfare Economics**

**X. Sociological; Social Construction**

**XI. Historical**

**XII. Institutionalism**

**XIII. Economics**

**XIV. Policy Typologies**

**XV. The Policy Cycle, or “Stages” Tradition:**

**A. Problem definition and Agenda-Setting Stage**

**B. Policy Formulation Stage**

**C. Decision-Making Stage**

**D. Implementation Stage**

**E. Evaluation Stage**

**XVI. Multi-level Governance and Veto Points**

**XVII. Policy Change and Policy Learning**

## **I. What is public policy?**

### **Read:**

Howlett and Ramesh, ch. 1.

Parsons, section 1.2, 1.7, 1.8

## **II. Different Policy Traditions: Varieties of Approaches and Analytic Frameworks in Public Policy**

### **Read:**

Parsons, 1.5, 1.6, General

Howlett and Ramesh, ch. 2

\* Sabatier, Paul A.. "The Need for Better Theories," pp 3 - 17 in Paul A. Sabatier, ed., *Theories of the Policy Process*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press 2007

### **Recommended:**

\* Schlager, Edella 2007. "A Comparison of Frameworks, Theories, and Models of Policy Processes," pp. 293 - 319 in Paul A. Sabatier, ed., *Theories of the Policy Process*

Birkland, Thomas. A. 2007. *An Introduction to the Policy Process; Theories, Concepts, and Models of Public Policy Making*. Armonk: M.E. Sharpe

Dobuzinskis, Laurent, Michael Howlett, and David Laycock. 2007. [Policy Analysis in Canada: The State of the Art \(IPAC Series in Public Management and Governance\)](#)

Birkland, [Thomas A.](#) 2005. *An Introduction To The Policy Process: Theories, Concepts, And Models Of Public Policy Making* (second edition) Armonk: M.E. Sharpe, chapter 9

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 1

## Different Policy Traditions in Particular:

### III. Philosophical

#### Read:

Parsons, 1.6

#### Recommended:

Goodin, Robert E. 1982. *Political Theory and Public Policy* Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Especially chs. 1, 5, 6, 12. H 97 G66

\*- Rawls, John. 1971. *A Theory of Justice*, chs. II, III, IV. JC 578 R38 1971

- Kymlicka, Will. 1989. *Liberalism, Community and Culture*. Oxford: Clarendon Press. HM 276 K96 1989

Nozick, Robert. 1990. *Anarchy, State and Utopia*. Basil Blackwell. JC 571 N68 1974

### IV. Psychological

#### Read:

Parsons pp. 36-37 and s. 3.7

#### Recommended:

Janis, I.L. 1972. *Groupthink: Psychological Studies of Policy Decisions and Fiascos*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin. na

Vickers, G. 1965. *The Art of Judgement: A Study of Policymaking*. 1965. London: Chapman and Hall.

Johnson, Nevil . Vickers' 'The Art of Judgement.'" *Political Studies*. XLIII: 159-171.  
<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/121436328/PDFSTART>

Volodymyr Walter Odajnyk, *Jung and Politics: The Political and Social Ideas of C. G. Jung*, (New York: Harper Colophon Books, 1976). BF 173 J85 O3 1976  
See also von Franz, Marie-Louise. 1975 and 1998. *C. G. Jung: His Myth in Our Time*, (Boston and Toronto: Little Brown and Company. 1975, ) esp. chs. 1-3. BF 109 J8 F713 1998

Robert B. Denhardt, *Theories of Public Organization* Fourth Edition (Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Thomson Learning, 2007), ch. 2. JF 1351 D46 2004

## V. Public Choice

### Read:

Parsons p. 34, s. 3.5

Gordon Tullock, 1976. *The Vote Motive*. Reprinted by the Institute for Economic Affairs, London 2006. <http://www.iea.org.uk/files/upld-book397pdf?.pdf>

### Recommended:

Lane, J.-E. (1993) *The Public Sector: Concepts, Models and Approaches*. London: Sage JF 1351 L37 1993

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 3.

Johnson, David B. 1991. *Public choice : an introduction to the new political economy* Mountainview CA: Bristlecone Books. HB 73 J64 1991

Niskanen W.A. 1971 *Bureacracy and Representative Government* JF 1501 N55

Anthony Downs, *Inside Bureaucracy*, 1967 JF 1351 D67 1967

Mancur Olson, 1965. *The Logic of Collective Action*. Cambridge: Harvard UP, chapter 1. HM 131 O55 1971

Morris, I. L., J. A. Oppenheimer, et al. *Politics from anarchy to democracy : rational choice in political science*. Stanford, Calif., Stanford University Press. 2004 JA 71 P642 2004

Friedman, Jeffrey. 1995. *The Rational Choice Controversy: Economic Models of Politics Reconsidered*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press. JA 71 R2925 1996

## VI. Pluralism and Neo-Pluralism and Corporatism.

### Read

Parsons ss 2.6, 3.3.2, 3.3.4

Howlett and Ramesh, ch. 2.

### Recommended:

Robert Dahl, *Who Governs* 1962 JS 1195.2 D2

Charles Lindblom, *Politics and Markets* 1977 HD 82 L475

Schmitter, P. (1974) 'Still the century of corporatism', *Review of politics*, vol.36, pp. 85-131

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/1406080.pdf>

Siaroff, Alan (1999) 'Corporatism in 24 industrial democracies: meaning and measurement', *European Journal of Political Research*, 36: 175-205

<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/119091856/PDFSTART>

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 2. Pp. 25-35; corporatism: ch. 4, 63-67

## VII. Elitism

### Read:

Parsons, s. 3.3.1

### Recommended:

Pareto and Mosca

C. Wright Mills, *The Power Elite* 1956

Bachrach, P.S. and Baratz, M.S. 1962. "Two Faces of Power," *American Political Science Review* 57: 641-51.

<http://www.jstor.org.qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/stable/pdfplus/1952796.pdf>

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 2. Pp. 36-39.

## IX. Neo-Marxist

### Read:

Parsons, ss. 2.7, 3.3.3

Howlett and Ramesh, ch. 2.

### Recommended:

Ham, Christopher and Michael Hill. 1993. *The Policy Process in the Modern Capitalist State*. 2nd ed. New York: Harvester Wheatsheaf.

Fischer, Frank and John Forester, eds. 1993., *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning*. [http://qe2a-](http://qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=40672)

[proxy.mun.ca/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=40672](http://qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/login?url=http://www.mylibrary.com?id=40672)

(Internet access)

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 2, pp. 30-50.

## VIII. Welfare Economics,

### Read:

Parsons, p. 33, ss. 3.8 3.9 4.5 4.7

Howlett and Ramesh, ch 2

### Recommended:

M. Carley, *Rational Techniques in Policy Analysis* (London: Policy Studies Institute/Heinemann, 1980). Chapters as directed

## **X. Sociological; Social Construction**

### **Read:**

Parsons p. 34-35, s. 2.2.1/3 and  
Sabatier, 2007, ch 4

### **Recommended:**

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 10.

Schneider and Ingram, 1997. "Social Constructions of Target Populations: Degenerative Policy Designs," ch. 5 in Ann L. Schneider and Helen M. Ingram, eds., *Policy Design for Democracy*. Kansas City: University Press of Kansas H 97 S33 1997

Newton, "It Is Not A Question of Being Anti-Immigrant': Categories of Deservedness in Immigration Policymaking" in Anne L. Schneider, Helen M. Ingram, eds., *Deserving and Entitled: Social Constructions and Public Policy* (Suny Series in Public Policy): State University of New York Press, 2005 HN 90 S6 D47 2005

## **XI. Historical**

### **Recommended**

Richard E. Neustadt and Ernest R. May, *Thinking in Time: The Uses of History for Decision Makers* (New York: The Free Press, 1986), all. E 743 N378 1986

David Hackett Fischer, *Historian's Fallacies: Toward a Logic of Historical Thought* (New York: Harper Torchbooks, 1970). D 16 F53

## **XII. Institutionalism**

### **Read:**

Parsons, section 3.6

Ostrom, Elinor. 1999 edition. "Institutional Rational Choice: An Assessment of the Institutional Analysis and Development Framework," pp. 35 - 72 in Paul A. Sabatier, ed., *Theories of the Policy Process*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

### **Recommended:**

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 5.

L. Kiser and E. Ostrom, "The Three Worlds of Political Action," in E. Ostrom, ed., *Strategies of Political Inquiry* (Beverly Hills: Sage, 1982). JA 71 S793 1982

J.E. Stiglitz, "Principal and Agent," Eatwell et al, *The New Palgrave: A Dictionary of Economics*, 1987. HB 61 P172 1987 Vols. 1-4

Hall, Peter A. and Rosemary C. R. Taylor. 1996. "Political Science and the Three New Institutionalisms," *Political Studies*, 44: 5 (December): 936 - 957.  
<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com.qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/cgi-bin/fulltext/119206445/PDFSTART>

Immergut, Ellen M. 1998. "The Theoretical Core of the New Institutionalism," *Politics and Society* 26, no. 1:5-34.  
[http://ft.csa.com/ids70/resolver.php?sessid=lmqft87aqssimpjsg5s79ep6g5&server=csaweb104v.csa.com&check=b07aa1d030740fb7af373dda07cf7129&db=sagepol-set-c&key=0032-3292%2F10.1177\\_0032329298026001002&mode=pdf](http://ft.csa.com/ids70/resolver.php?sessid=lmqft87aqssimpjsg5s79ep6g5&server=csaweb104v.csa.com&check=b07aa1d030740fb7af373dda07cf7129&db=sagepol-set-c&key=0032-3292%2F10.1177_0032329298026001002&mode=pdf)

March, James G., and Johan P. Olsen. 1984. "The New Institutionalism: Organizational Factors in Political Life," *American Political Science Review* 78, no. 3(September): 734 -749. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/1961840.pdf>

\_\_\_\_\_. *Rediscovering Institutions: The Organizational Basic of Politics*. 1989. New York: The Free Press.

North, Douglass. 1990. *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. New York: Cambridge University Press. Chapters 1 & 2. HB 99.5 N67 1990

Peters, B. Guy, Jon Pierre, and Desmond S. King. 2005. "The Politics of Path Dependency: Political Conflict in Historical Institutionalism," *Journal of Politics* 67, no.4 (Nov): 1275 - 1300.  
<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/118646417/PDFSTART>

Scharpf, Fritz W. 2000. "Institutions in Comparative Policy Research," *Comparative Political Studies* 33, no. 6/7 (September): 762-90.  
[http://ft.csa.com/ids70/resolver.php?sessid=128r0op8vd1d9rsobsio4r7b02&server=csaweb108v.csa.com&check=21052f68bb46a502cae6b915eda72058&db=sagepol-set-c&key=0010-4140%2F10.1177\\_001041400003300602&mode=pdf](http://ft.csa.com/ids70/resolver.php?sessid=128r0op8vd1d9rsobsio4r7b02&server=csaweb108v.csa.com&check=21052f68bb46a502cae6b915eda72058&db=sagepol-set-c&key=0010-4140%2F10.1177_001041400003300602&mode=pdf)

### **XIII. Economics**

#### **Read:**

Howlett and Ramesh ch. 2.

Carley, Michael. 1980. *Rational Techniques in Policy Analysis*, London, Heinemann, selections.

#### **Recommended:**

Steven E. Rhoads, *The Economist's View of the World: Government, Markets and Public Policy*, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1985) HB 171 R43 1985

Stone, *Policy Paradox*, chs. 1-5.

Richard O. Zerbe, Jr., and Howard E. McCurdy, "The Failure of Market Failure," *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 18, no. 4 (Fall 1999): 558-78.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3325755.pdf>

#### XIV. Policy Typologies

##### Read:

Parsons, ss. 2.5, 4.3.6

Lowi, "American Business, Public Policy, Case-Studies, and Political Theory," *World Politics* (July 1964): 677-715 (On reserve)

"Review Article: Decision Making vs. Policy Making: Toward an Antidote for Technocracy May/June 1970): 314-325;  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/974053.pdf>

Lowi, "Four Systems of Policy, Politics, and Choice," *\_PAR\_* (July/Aug 1972): 298-310  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/974990.pdf>

##### Recommended:

Heckathorn and Maser, "The Contractual Architecture of Public Policy: A Critical Reconstruction of Lowi's Typology," *Journal of Politics*, 52 (Nov. 1990)  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/2131684.pdf>

Kellow, "Promoting Elegance in Policy Theory: Simplifying Lowi's Arenas of Power," *PSJ*, 16 (Summer 1988):

Stone, *Policy Paradox*, ch. 9.

Birkland, [Thomas A.](#) 2005. *An Introduction To The Policy Process: Theories, Concepts, And Models Of Public Policy Making* (second edition) Armonk: M.E. Sharpe. Ch. 6

Mancur Olson, *The Logic of Collective Action: Public Goods and the Theory of Groups* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1971), introduction, ch. 1.

James Q. Wilson, *Political Organizations* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1995), pp. vii-xxiv. (1973 edition **JK 1118 W54 1973**)

## XV. The Policy Cycle, or “Stages” Approach:

### **Read:**

Parsons, sections 1.8, 1.9, 1.10, 1.11

Howlett and Ramesh, ch. 1

deLeon, Peter. “The Stages Approach to the Policy Process: What Has It Done? Where Is It Going?” In P. A. Sabatier, ed(s), *Theories of the Policy Process*, (*first* edition) Boulder: Westview, 1999. 19-34.

### **Recommended:**

Sabatier, Paul A. “Toward Better Theories of the Policy Process.” *PS: Political Science and Politics*. 24, no. 2 (1991): 144-156.

Robert A. Heineman, et al., *The World of the Policy Analyst*, ch. 2  
<http://www.jstor.org.qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/stable/419923>

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. Boston, Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006, ch. 1

Hoggwood and Gunn, 1984

Fischer, Miller and Sidney, eds., *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis*, chaps. 4-8.  
na

### **A. Problem definition and Agenda-Setting Stage**

#### **Read:**

Howlett, Michael, Anthony Perl and M. Ramesh. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2009 Ch 4

Parsons, 2.10, 2.11.

John W Kingdon,.. *Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies*, second edition. (New York: Longman, 2003)

#### **Recommended:**

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. Boston, Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006, ch. 2.

Birkland, [Thomas A.](#) 2005. *An Introduction To The Policy Process: Theories, Concepts, And Models Of Public Policy Making* (second edition) Armonk: M.E. Sharpe. Ch. 5

Wood and Doan, "The Politics of Problem Definition: Applying and Testing Threshold Models," *AJPS*, 47 (Oct., 2003):640-653.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3186124.pdf> ,

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3186124>

Nicholaos Zahariadis, "The Multiple Streams Framework: Structure, Limitations, Prospects," in Paul A. Sabatier, ed., *Theories of the Policy Process*, second edition. Boulder: Westview, 2007. pp. 65-92.

Travis, Rick, and Nikolaos Zahariadis, 2002, "A Multiple Streams Model of U.S. Foreign Aid Policy," *Policy Studies Journal* 30(4): 495-514

<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/118914968/PDFSTART>

James L. True, Bryan D. Jones, and Frank R. Baumgartner, "Punctuated Equilibrium Theory: Explaining Stability and Change in Public Policymaking," in Paul A. Sabatier, ed., *Theories of the Policy Process*, second edition. Boulder: Westview, 2007. pp.155-188

Baumgartner, Frank R. and Bryan D. Jones. "Agenda Dynamics and Policy Subsystems," *Journal of Politics* 53:4 (Nov. 1991): 1044 - 1074.

<http://www.jstor.org.qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/stable/pdfplus/2131866.pdf>

Baumgartner, Frank R. and Bryan D. Jones, 1993, *Agendas and Instability in American Politics*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press (all).

Downs, Anthony. 1972. "Up and Down with Ecology--The 'Issue-Attention Cycle.'" *The Public Interest*, 28

<http://pao.chadwyck.co.uk/PDF/1231429076140.pdf>

Cobb, R., J.K. Ross, and M.H. Ross. "Agenda Building as a Comparative Political Process." *American Political Science Review*. 70, no. 1 (1976): 126-138.

<http://www.jstor.org.qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/stable/pdfplus/1960328.pdf>

Howlett, Michael. "Issue-Attention and Punctuated Equilibria Models Reconsidered: An Empirical Examination of the Dynamics of Agenda-Setting in Canada." *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 30, no. 1 (1997): 3-29.

<http://www.jstor.org.qe2a-proxy.mun.ca/stable/pdfplus/3232165.pdf>

Howlett, Michael. "Predictable and Unpredictable Policy Windows: Issue, Institutional and Exogenous Correlates of Canadian Federal Agenda-Setting." *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 31, no. 3 (1998): 495-524.

Soroka, Stuart N. *Agenda-Setting Dynamics in Canada* Vancouver: UBC Press, 2002

Peters, G. 2005. "The Problem of Policy Problems" *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice* 7(4): 349-370.  
[http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/886822\\_770885140\\_727190776.pdf](http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/886822_770885140_727190776.pdf)

Rochefort, David A. and Roger W. Cobb, 1994, *The Politics of Problem Definition: Shaping the Policy Agenda*. Lawrence, Kansas: The University Press of Kansas, Ch 1

Downs, Anthony, 1978, "Up and Down with Ecology: The 'Issue-Attention Cycle'," *The Public Interest* 28: 38-50  
<http://pao.chadwyck.co.uk/PDF/1231382729158.pdf>

Durr, Robert H., 1993, "What Moves Policy Sentiment?" *American Political Science Review* 87(1): 158-170

Jan, Kleinnijenhuis, and Ewald M. Rietberg. 1995. "Parties, Media, the Public, and the Economy: Patterns of Societal Agenda-Setting." *European Journal of Political Research* 28 (1). <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/119247634/PDFSTART>

Hogwood and Gunn. 1984. *Policy Analysis for the Real World*.

Pal, Leslie Alexander. 2006. *Beyond policy analysis : public issue management in turbulent times 3rd ed.* Scarborough: Thomson Nelson Learning. H 97 P33 2006. Chapter 3. "Problem Definition in Policy Analysis."

## **B. Policy Formulation Stage**

### **Read:**

Howlett, Michael, Anthony Perl and M. Ramesh. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2009 Ch 5

Parsons, 2.10

Paul A. Sabatier and Christopher M. Weible, "The Advocacy Coalition Framework: Innovations and Clarifications," in Paul A. Sabatier, ed(s), *Theories of the Policy Process*, second edition. Boulder: Westview, 2007, pp. 189-220

### **Recommended:**

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. (Boston: Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006), ch. 3.

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 67-76. And ch. 8, all.

Silke Adam and Hanspeter Kriesi, "The Network Approach" in Paul A. Sabatier, ed(s), *Theories of the Policy Process*, second edition. Boulder: Westview, 2007, pp. 129-154

Pal, Leslie Alexander. 2006. *Beyond policy analysis : public issue management in turbulent times 3rd ed.* Scarborough: Thomson Nelson Learning. H 97 P33 2006. Chapter 6, "Policy Communities and Networks."

Sabatier, Paul A. "An Advocacy Coalition Framework of Policy Change and the Role of Policy-Oriented Learning Therein," *Policy Sciences*, 21 (Fall 1988)  
<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdf?vid=3&hid=116&sid=1606e97d-b16a-46ca-afb3-f66e1950b5e1%40sessionmgr102>

Hecl, Hugh, 1978, "Issue Networks and the Executive Establishment," Anthony Kind (ed.), *The New American Political System*, Washington, DC: The American Enterprise Institute, pp. 87-124 JK 1717 N48

Jones, B. D. and F. R. Baumgartner. *The politics of attention : how government prioritizes problems*. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 2005. JK 468 P64 J65 2005

Linder, Stephen H. and B. Guy Peters. "Policy Formulation and the Challenge of Conscious Design." *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 13 (1990): 303-311.  
[http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?\\_ob=MIimg&\\_imagekey=B6V7V-469KV9G-1P-1&\\_cdi=5852&\\_user=1069227&\\_orig=browse&\\_coverDate=12%2F31%2F1990&\\_sk=999869996&\\_view=c&\\_wchp=dGLbVlz-zSkWb&\\_md5=3487a2c421082d851a74dd378ff7e1a0&\\_ie=/sdarticle.pdf](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=MIimg&_imagekey=B6V7V-469KV9G-1P-1&_cdi=5852&_user=1069227&_orig=browse&_coverDate=12%2F31%2F1990&_sk=999869996&_view=c&_wchp=dGLbVlz-zSkWb&_md5=3487a2c421082d851a74dd378ff7e1a0&_ie=/sdarticle.pdf)

Jordan, A. Grant. "Iron Triangles, Woolly Corporatism and Elastic Nets: Images of the Policy Process." *Journal of Public Policy*. 1, no. 1 (1981): 95-123.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3998171.pdf>

deLeon, Peter. "Policy Formulation: Where Ignorant Armies Clash By Night." *Policy Studies Review*. 11, no. 3/4 (1992): 389-405.  
<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdf?vid=3&hid=109&sid=f7b77c39-d675-4ff3-a07f-acf6396e4283%40sessionmgr109>

Weiss, Carol H. "Research for Policy's Sake: The Enlightenment Function of Social Science Research." *Policy Analysis*. 3, no. 4 (1977): 531-545.  
<http://pao.chadwyck.co.uk/PDF/1231430698462.pdf>

Dowding, Keith. "Model or Metaphor? A Critical Review of the Policy Network Approach." *Political Studies*. 43:1 (1995): 136-158.

<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/121436327/PDFSTART>

Marsh, David, ed. 1998. *Comparing Policy Networks*. Buckingham and Philadelphia: Open University Press. HD 8072.5 C66 1996

Evans, Mark. 2001. "Understanding Dialectics in Policy Network Analysis." *Political Studies* 49, 3: 542-50.

<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/119015610/PDFSTART>

Fischer, Miller and Sidney, eds., *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis*, chaps. 9-15

Marsh, David and Martin Smith. 2000. "Understanding Policy Networks: Towards a Dialectical Approach." *Political Studies* 48, 1: 4-21.

<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/119037593/PDFSTART>

\*Marsh, David and Martin J. Smith. 2001. "There is More Than One Way to Do Political Science: On Different Ways to Study Policy Networks." *Political Studies* 49, 3: 528-41.

Moran, Rein and Goodin, eds., *The Oxford Handbook of Public Policy*, chaps. 20, 25-29. H 97 O88 2006

Linder, Stephen H. and B. Guy Peters. 1990. "An Institutional Approach to the Theory of Policy-Making: The Role of Guidance Mechanisms in Policy Formulation." *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 2: 59-83.

Howlett, Michael and Evert Lindquist. "Policy Analysis and Governance: Analytical and Policy Styles in Canada." *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*. 6, no. 3 (2004): 225-249.

[http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/596008\\_770885140\\_713999674.pdf](http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/596008_770885140_713999674.pdf)

Scogstad, Grace. "Policy Networks and Policy Communities: Conceptualizing State-Society Relationships in the Policy Process." In Linda A. White, Richard Simeon, Robert Vipond and Jennifer Wallner, eds. *The Comparative Turn in Canadian Political Science*. Vancouver and Toronto: UBC Press. JA 84 C3 C64 2008

Zahariadis, Nikoloas and Christopher S. Allen. "Ideas, Networks, and Policy Streams: Privatization in Britain and Germany." *Policy Studies Review*. 14, no. 1/2 (1995): 71-98.

<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdf?vid=3&hid=120&sid=cbdb1377-3b87-4993-8b34-8588411ebba2%40sessionmgr104>

Rich, Andrew. 2004. *Think Tanks, Public Policy, and the Politics of Expertise*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. H 97 R53 2004 Also available on the internet at <http://www.mylibrary.com/browse/open.asp?ID=47761>

### C. Decision-Making Stage

#### Read:

Howlett, Michael, Anthony Perl and M. Ramesh. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2009 Ch 6

Parsons, 3.1, 3.2, and 3.4. 1 to 3.4.5 inclusive

Cohen, M., J. March, and J. Olsen. "A Garbage Can Model of Organizational Choice." *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 17, no. 1 (1972): 1-25.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/2392088.pdf> or

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2392088>

Braybrooke and Lindblom, *\_A Strategy of Decision\_* (1963), chs. 4-5.

Lindblom, Charles E. "The Science of Muddling Through." *Public Administration Review*. 19, no. 2): 79-88. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/973677.pdf> or

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/973677>

#### Recommended:

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. Boston, Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006, ch. 4.

Simon, Herbert A. "The Structure of Ill Structured Problems." *Artificial Intelligence*. 4:3-4 (1973): 181-201. Not available on MUN Library borrowing.

On reserve.

Smith, Gilbert and David May. "The Artificial Debate Between Rationalist and Incrementalist Models of Decision-Making." *Policy and Politics*. 8, no. 2 (1980): 147-161. (Not available on web; put on reserve.)

Mucciaroni, Gary. 1992. "The Garbage Can Model and The Study of Policy-Making: A Critique," *Polity* 24, no. 3 (Spring): 459 - 482.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3235165.pdf>

Olsen, Johan P. 2001. "Garbage Cans, New Institutionalism, and The Study of Politics," *American Political Science Review* 95, no. 1 (March): 191 - 198.

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3117637.pdf>

Allison, Graham. *Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*. Boston: Little Brown, 1971, 1999. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition: E 841 A44 1999

Teisman, Geert R. "Models for Research into Decision-Making Processes: On Phases, Streams and Decision-Making Rounds." *Public Administration*. 78, no. 4 (2000): 937-956 <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/119037768/PDFSTART>

Weiss, Carol H. "Knowledge Creep and Decision Accretion." *Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*. 1, no. 3 (1980): 381-404. NA

Bendor, Jonathan. "A Model of Muddling Through." *American Political Science Review*. 89, no. 4 (1995): 819-840  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/2082511.pdf>

Bendor, Jonathan and Thomas H. Hammond. "Re-Thinking Allison's Models." *American Political Science Review*. 86, no. 2 (1992): 301-322.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/1964222.pdf>

Bendor, Jonathan, Terry M. Moe, and Kenneth W. Shotts. "Recycling the Garbage Can: An Assessment of the Research Program." *American Political Science Review*. 95, no. 1 (2001): 169-190.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3117636.pdf>

Mintz, Alex and Nehemra Geva. "The PoliHeuristic Theory of Foreign Policy Decision Making." In N. Geva and A. Mintz, ed(s), *Decision-Making in War and Peace: The Cognitive-Rational Debate*, Boulder: Lynne Rienner, 1997. NA.

Howlett, Michael. 2007. Analyzing Multi-Actor, Multi-Round Public Policy Decision-Making Processes in Government: Findings from Five Canadian Cases. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 40 (3):659-684.  
<http://proquest.umi.com/pqdlink?index=0&did=1371369531&SrchMode=3&sid=1&Fmt=6&VInst=PROD&VType=PQD&RQT=309&VName=PQD&TS=1231455181&ClientId=23&aid=1>

### **C. Policy Implementation Stage**

#### **Read:**

Parsons, 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 to 4.3.8 incl and section 4.4 all

Howlett, Michael, Anthony Perl and M. Ramesh. *Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems*. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2009 Ch 7

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. (Boston, Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006), ch. 6.

Bardach, "Policy Dynamics," ch. 16 in Moran, Rein, and Goodin, eds., *Oxford Handbook of Public Policy* (2006):336-366. H 97 O88 2006

**Recommended:**

Sabatier, Paul A. and Daniel Mazmanian, 1995, "A Conceptual Framework of the Implementation Process," In Stella Z. Theodoulou and Matthew A. Cahn (eds.), *Public Policy: The Essential Readings*, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. Ch. 9

Peters, B. Guy and F. K. M. Van Nispen, ed. *Public Policy Instruments : Evaluating the Tools of Public Administration*. New York: Edward Elgar, 1998.

Sabatier, Paul A. "Top-Down and Bottom-Up Approaches to Implementation Research: A Critical Analysis and Suggested Synthesis." *Journal of Public Policy*. 6:1 (1986): 21-48. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3998354.pdf>

O'Toole, Laurence J. 2000 . "Research on Policy Implementation: Assessment and Prospects." *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 10:2 pp. 263-288.  
<http://jpart.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/10/2/263?maxtoshow=&HITS=10&hits=10&RESULTFORMAT=&fulltext=O%27Toole&searchid=1&FIRSTINDEX=0&resourcetype=HWCT>

Hood, Christopher. *The Tools of Government in the digital age*. London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2007. JF 1411 H67 2007

Salamon, Lester M., ed. 1989. *Beyond Privatization: The Tools of Government Action*. Urban Institute Press.

Birkland, [Thomas A.](#) 2005. *An Introduction To The Policy Process: Theories, Concepts, And Models Of Public Policy Making* (second edition) Armonk: M.E. Sharpe, chs. 7 & 8

Goggin, Malcolm L. et al. 1990. *Implementation Theory and Practice: Toward A Third Generation*. Glenview: Scott, Foresman/Little, Brown. H 97 I49 1990

McCubbins, Mathew D. and Arthur Lupia. "Learning from Oversight: Fire Alarms and Policy Patrols Reconstructed." *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization*. 10, no. 1 (1994): 96-125.  
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/764815.pdf>

Weingast, Barry R., 2005, "Caught in the Middle; The President, Congress, and the Political-Bureaucratic System," In Joel D. Aberbach and Mark A. Peterson (eds.) *The Executive Branch* New York: Oxford University Press. JK 501 E94 2005

Hill, Michael and Peter Hupe. 2002. *Implementing Public Policy: Governance in Theory and in Practice*. London: SAGE.

<http://site.ebrary.com/lib/memorial/Doc?id=10080997>

Pressman and Wildavsky, *Implementation* (1973), ch. 5;

Mazmanian Daniel A. and Paul A. Sabatier. 1989, *Implementation and Public Policy* ch. 2 & 8, NA

Hawkins, Keith and John M. Thomas. "Making Policy in Regulatory Bureaucracies." In K. Hawkins and J. M. Thomas, ed(s), *Making Regulatory Policy*, Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 1989. 3-30.

Barrett, S. M. 2004. 'Implementation Studies: Time for a Revival? Personal Reflections on 20 Years of Implementation Studies.' *Public Administration*. 82(2): 249–62. <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/118761619/PDFSTART>

Scholz, John T. "Cooperative Regulatory Enforcement and the Politics of Administrative Effectiveness." *American Political Science Review*. 85, no. 1 (1991): 115-136.

Eliadis, Pearl, Margaret Hill, and Michael Howlett, ed. *Designing Government: From Instruments to Governance*. Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2004 articles as indicated. JF 1525 P6 D48 2005

Geva-May, Iris. "Implementing Termination." in *An operational approach to policy analysis: the craft: prescriptions for better analysis*. Boston, Dordrecht, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Ch. 5, 175-222. H 97 G48 1997

Pal, Leslie Alexander. 2006. *Beyond policy analysis: public issue management in turbulent times 3rd ed.* Scarborough: Thomson Nelson Learning. H 97 P33 2006. Chapter 5, "Policy Implementation."

## E. Policy evaluation Stage

### Read:

Parsons, section 4.5

Howlett, Michael, Anthony Perl and M. Ramesh. Studying Public Policy: Policy Cycles and Policy Subsystems. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2009 Ch 8

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. Boston, Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006

Pal, Leslie Alexander. 2006. *Beyond policy analysis : public issue management in turbulent times 3rd ed.* Scarborough: Thomson Nelson Learning. H 97 P33 2006. Chapter 7. "Policy and Program Evaluation."

### Recommended:

□ O. James, "New Public Management: Enduring legacy or Fatal Remedy?", *International Review of Public Administration*, 6:2 (2002)

[http://www.kapa21.or.kr/down/2001/IRPA/02-Oliver\\_James.pdf](http://www.kapa21.or.kr/down/2001/IRPA/02-Oliver_James.pdf)

### What Standards? Accountability, Transparency, Legitimacy

Hill, Michael. 2005. *The Public Policy Process*. Harlow, England: Pearson Educational Ltd. chapter 13

M. Lodge, "Accountability and Transparency in Regulation", in Jordana & Levi-Faur, *The Politics of Regulation*, E. Elgar 2004.

G. Majone, "The Regulatory State and its Legitimacy Problems", *West European Politics*, 22:1 (1999), 1-24

[http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/443049\\_770885140\\_787815578.pdf](http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/443049_770885140_787815578.pdf)

## XVI. Multi-level Governance and Veto Points

### Read.

Parsons, s. 3.4.6

### Recommended:

Moe, Terry M. 1990. "The Politics of Structural Choice: Toward a Theory of Public Bureaucracy," pp. 116 - 153 in Oliver E. Williamson, ed., *Organization Theory: From Chester Barnard to the Present and Beyond*. New York: Oxford University Press.

HD 31 O753 1990 (A 1995 source is available on the web in MUN library.)

Hooghe, Liesbet and Gary Marks. 2003. "Unraveling the Central State, but How? Types of Multi-Level Governance," *American Political Science Review* 97, no. 2 (May): 233 - 243. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3118206.pdf>

Scharpf, Fritz. 1988. "The Joint-Decision Trap: Lessons from German Federalism and European Integration," *Public Administration* 66, no. 3 (Autumn): 239 - 278. [http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/443049\\_770885140\\_787815578.pdf](http://pdfserve.informaworld.com/443049_770885140_787815578.pdf)

Scharpf, Fritz. 1989. "Decision Rules, Decision Styles and Policy Choices," *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 1, no. 2: 149 - 176. [http://ft.csa.com/ids70/resolver.php?sessid=d35veo4pu5fj30inoijl8f8t81&server=csaweb112v.csa.com&check=3e9013bb7ffb188a1586534928e5b8af&db=sagepol-set-c&key=0951-6298%2F10.1177\\_0951692889001002003&mode=pdf](http://ft.csa.com/ids70/resolver.php?sessid=d35veo4pu5fj30inoijl8f8t81&server=csaweb112v.csa.com&check=3e9013bb7ffb188a1586534928e5b8af&db=sagepol-set-c&key=0951-6298%2F10.1177_0951692889001002003&mode=pdf)

Schlager, Edella and William Blomquist. 1996. "A Comparison of Three Emerging Theories of the Policy Process," *Political Research Quarterly* 49, no. 3 (Sep): 651 - 672. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/449103.pdf>

Tsebelis, George. 1995. "Decision-Making in Political Systems: Veto Players in Presidentialism, Parliamentarism, Multicameralism, and Multipartyism," *British Journal of Political Science* 25:3, pp. 289 - 326. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/194257.pdf>

Weingast, Barry. 1995. "The Economic Role of Political Institutions: Market-Preserving Federalism and Economic Development," *Journal of Law, Economics and Organization* II: 1: 1-31 <http://jleo.oxfordjournals.org/cgi/reprint/11/1/1>

## **XVII. Policy Change and Policy Learning**

### **Read:**

Parsons, Section 4.6

Richard Rose, *Lesson-Drawing in Public Policy: A Guide to Learning Across Time and Space* (Chatham NJ: Chatham House Publishers 2003). NA

### **Recommended:**

James and Lodge. 2003. "The Limitations of 'Policy Transfer' and 'Lesson Drawing' for Public Policy Research," *Policy Studies Review*, 1 (April) :179-193. [http://people.exeter.ac.uk/ojames/psr\\_3.pdf](http://people.exeter.ac.uk/ojames/psr_3.pdf)

J. E. Anderson, *Public policymaking: an introduction*. Boston, Houghton Mifflin. 1984, 2006, ch. 7

### **Deliberative Democracy**

Barabas, Jason, 2004, "How Deliberation Affects Policy Opinions," *American Political Science Review* 98(4): 687-70. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/4145332.pdf>

Fung, Archon, 2006, "Varieties of Participation in Complex Governance," Public Administration Review, 66:1 Special Issue, December: 66-75.

<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/118561477/PDFSTART>

Irvin, R.A. and J. Stansbury, 2004, "Citizen Participation in Decision-Making: Is it Worth the Effort?" Public Administration Review 64(1): 55-65

<http://web.ebscohost.com/ehost/pdf?vid=3&hid=12&sid=f751fe81-fb75-4935-87fa-c7f543e15ea8%40SRCMS1>

Example Only

THURSDAY SEMINAR SCHEDULE

<b>PRESENTATION DATE</b>	<b>TOPIC</b>	<b>PAPER GIVER AND DISCUSSANT(S) name and email</b>
WEEK 1 JAN 12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Course organization</li> <li>• What is Public Policy?</li> <li>• Varieties of Approaches and Analytic Frameworks in Public Policy</li> </ul>	NA
WEEK 2 JAN 18	<b>Philosophical</b>  <b>Psychological</b>	NA
WEEK3 JAN 25	<b>Public Choice</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 4 FEB 1	<b>Pluralism, Neo-pluralism and corporatism and Elitism</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 5 FEB 8	<b>Sociological; Social Construction Historical</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 6 FEB 15	<b>Institutionalism Economics</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 7 FEB 18-20 (MTB) CLASS FEB 22	<b>Policy Typologies</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 8 MARCH 1	<b>A. Problem definition and Agenda-Setting Stage</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 9 MAR 8	<b>B. Policy Formulation Stage</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 10 MAR 15	<b>C. Decision-Making Stage</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 11 MAR 22	<b>D. Implementation Stage</b>  <b>E. Evaluation Stage</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 12 MAR 29	<b>Multi-level Governance and Veto Points</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT
WEEK 13 APR 3	<b>Policy Change and Policy Learning</b>	PAPER GIVER DISCUSSANT

## DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE PLAGIARISM GUIDE

"Plagiarism means taking material written by another and offering it as one's own... Copying others' work is the most complete failure possible," (Perrin, Writer's Guide and Index to English, Third edition).

The material copied may consist of a few phrases or sentences, or an entire passage of paper written by someone else. The source may be a published book or article, or the work of another student. It applies equally to "research papers" and to "thought or opinion essays." Whatever its form (and the rules of scholarship do not generally recognize degrees) plagiarism constitutes two kinds of failure: (1) Failure to perform the basic tasks expected in any paper—original mental effort and expression. (2) Potentially, the moral failure of dishonesty. Although it is conceivable that a person might copy another's words without attribution out of ignorance of proper practices, this is not an acceptable excuse in university work. Objective evidence of plagiarism carries with it the presumption of dishonest motives.

In short, it is better to try to do one's work, even if this results in an apparently poor product, than it is not to try at all, substituting someone else's efforts for one's own.

Memorial University Calendar regulations (Procedures Governing Academic Dishonesty - Undergraduate Students) specify that the penalty for plagiarism is at least a reduction of grade for the work (assignment) concerned, and in the case of repeat offenses may be probation, suspension or expulsion in addition to the grade reduction (General Regulation V). The Political Science Department will apply this regulation to its full extent and regards plagiarism as a far more serious failing than non-completion of assigned work. Students are expected to familiarize themselves with the General Regulations.

Complicity in plagiarism (e.g., knowingly allowing one's work to be copied or doing work to be submitted in another student's name) is considered equivalent to plagiarism.

If there is any question as to what constitutes plagiarism and what constitutes proper attribution and documentation of facts, ideas, and words obtained from other sources, the student should consult his or her instructor as well as any standard manual on composition and style (for example, James D. Lester, Writing Research Papers, 5th edition, pp 77-81).

Web sources describing what constitutes plagiarism, and related matters, can be found at:

<http://www.indiana.edu/~wts/wts/plagiarism.html>

<http://ec.hku.hk/plagiarism/introduction.htm>

<http://www.writing.nwu.edu/tips/plag.html><http://west.cscwc.pima.edu/~bfiero/plagrsm.htm><http://www2.h-net.msu.edu/~africa/citation.html>

You may be interested in this University of Toronto site which gives us "Advice on Academic Writing." Various types of assignments are covered.

<http://www.utoronto.ca/writing/advise.html>