

**SNOOK'S COVE ARCHAEOLOGY PROJECT: REPORT ON FIELD SEASON 1** Brian Pritchard and Eliza Brandy

Figure 1 Narrows and Back Bay Regions of Labrador, showing locations of the town of Rigolet and the site of Snook's Cove (GaBp-07) (Pritchard)

an overwhelming success in terms of both ob- trapping grounds throughout this time period. major research questions and community feed- locations, the Inuit living in the Narrows reback and responses to the project.

Snook's Cove Archaeology Project. The first ravians to the north and substantial seasonal objective is to better understand the varied and and settler operations to the south. geographically-situated responses of the Labrador Inuit to colonialism by focusing on an area derstand how the Inuit built, used and main-(the Narrows region) and time period (post- tained their sod-houses, and to incorporate this 18<sup>th</sup> century) that has hardly been researched, understanding into a typology of sod-house and on a group of Inuit that had a degree of architecture relating to the ethnicity, or group autonomy and self-control over their liveli- identity, of the inhabitants. One outcome of hoods not found among Inuit that were living colonialism and interaction between Inuit and with and/or nearer settlers elsewhere in Labra- foreigners in Labrador was that settlers, Inuit dor. Inuit were intimately familiar with the and Métis alike all built and lived in semilandscape, waterways and resources around the subterranean sod-houses towards the end of Narrows and Back Bay regions through centu- the 18th and into the 19th centuries. Given this ries of use and experience, and many of them conflation between group identity and building made this area home throughout the late 18th practices, one of the initial, and perhaps bigto early 20th centuries (see figure 1). In con- gest, problems facing archaeologists working

am happy to report that the first of two trast, settlers favoured the western end of Lake seasons of fieldwork at Snook's Cove was Melville because of its proximity to productive taining primary data with which to answer the Given these differences in preferred settlement gion continued to live their lives with relatively There are two main objectives of the less influence from foreigners such as the Mo-

The second objective is to better un-

on any post-18th century habitation site in 5, 6 and 7). Interestingly, house 3 also has a Central and Southern Labrador is determining partially paved stone floor and raised rearwho lived there. It has recently been deter- sleeping platform. mined that Snook's Cove (GaBp-07), which is located on the north side of the Narrows, was tures, there is also decent material evidence home to several Inuit families throughout the indicating that both houses were occupied by 19th and early 20th centuries, and it is thought Inuit families, including the use of traditional that the sod-houses there were occupied by raw materials such as whalebone, soapstone, these Inuit (see figure 1).

volving site survey and mapping, test pitting that you would not expect to find at settler and full-scale excavations, it appears that we hit sites. Less obvious, and more contentious, evithe nail on the head. This is a far cry from dence includes the reworking of European maother times when I have gone into the field terials into Inuit forms such as nails worked with high expectations only to be let down by into blades, and extensive refurbishment and poor preservation, inaccurate surveys or his- re-use of artifacts such as the mending of brotorical accounts, or past people not being ken ceramics and the utilization of the sharp where they are supposed to be.

With a crew consisting of myself and fellow MUN students Eliza Brandy, Josh Brandy is using a zooachaeological approach to Keddy, Pat Lavigne and Lori Williams, close to investigate patterns of Inuit subsistence and 100 (1m x 1m) units were excavated from two animal use at Snooks Cove. Because of the amadjacent houses and associated middens. biguity in sod-house architecture relating to the House 4 is a true semi-subterranean sod-house ethnicity of occupants noted above, it is that exhibits several traditional Inuit architec- thought that an analysis of the faunal remains tural features and is tentatively dated from will complement the architectural and material about 1800 to 1860 AD. This house is cut into data at the site by providing an additional line the ground about 40 to 50cm, has earth and of evidence with which to identify an Inuit ocsod walls that have slumped inwards since cupation of sod-houses in Southern Labrador. house abandonment, has (at least a partially) paved flagstone floor and raised rear-sleeping cant amount of faunal material recovered from platform, and has a substantial hearth feature both houses 3 and 4 at Snook's Cove, which located in the SW corner of the house (see fig- considering the dates of occupation of the ures 2, 3 and 4).

1940, house 3 did not turn out to be a sod- tence at the site. The recent nature of the site house as was initially thought based on surface and the sandy matrix allowed for excellent evidence. Instead, it is a settler-style cabin that preservation of bone, and in some cases comhas large log side walls laid directly on the plete skulls and articulated bones were recovground (with slumping sides giving the impres- ered. Preliminary analysis using comparative sion of a depression like that found with sod- faunal collections from the Zooarchaeological houses), a front stone wall constructed of dry- Identification Centre of the Canadian Museum laid cobbles held in place with sand, a wood- of Nature indicates that the inhabitants of plank floor covering at least a portion of the Snook's Cove had a diet with a heavy reliance interior, and an old drum fireplace/hearth that on seals and caribou, which supports the interwas likely originally a wood stove (see figures pretation of an Inuit occupation for these

In addition to Inuit architectural feaquartz crystal and slate, and the use of tradi-Indeed, after eight weeks of work in- tional implements such as soapstone kulliks edges of broken glass.

For her MA research at MUN, Eliza

Towards this end, there was a signifihouses, should give us a decent picture of Tentatively dated from about 1860 to change through time in animal use and subsis-



Figure 2 The light patch on the right is old, slumping sod. Notice the dark layer on the left that runs underneath the sod; this was the old surface layer that the house cuts through and was built on (Pritchard)

Figure 3 The light patch coming out from the log (back-north) wall of house 4 is the sleeping platform. Also notice the cracked flagstones at the bottom right and bottom centre of the picture, which paved the floor of the house (Pritchard)





Figure 4 Hearth feature in House 4. The linear stones on the left are part of the front wall (Pritchard)

Figure 5 Log (east) wall and stone front (south) wall of house 3 (Pritchard)



houses. Significantly smaller amounts of other platforms which are about 1.3m wide and are animals have also been identified, such as rab- raised only about 10 to 15 cm above floor bits, hares, ptarmigan, murres, and a porcupine, level. After the interiors were exposed, the diand some cod remains have also been found. mensions of house 3 were determined to be And given the importance of dogs for life in about 5.1m (E-W) x 4.6m (N-S) and house 4 Labrador during the 19th century, it was not were about 4.2m (E-W) x 4.7m (N-S). surprising their remains were found as well.

yond fieldschool while an undergraduate at around 1860 which allows me to gauge change McMaster University, Eliza was very pleased over time in Inuit society within the context of with how the season turned out and the collec- colonialism over the 19th and 20th centuries, tion of faunal materials excavated. More sig- and there are lots of material and architectural nificantly though, she is grateful for her fantas- data that can and will be used to answer the tic crew and the lasting impression left by the two primary research question noted above. community of Rigolet and the spirit of Labrador.

material evidence are for what they can tell us the nearby community of Rigolet makes this about Inuit building traditions and responses research even more worthwhile. I do not beto colonialism in terms of cultural continuity lieve in doing archaeology for the sake of doing and change, significantly more interesting is archaeology and I have actively sought to pubthat even though house 3 is more recent than licize this research to the people of Labrador house 4 and accordingly replaces some of the through radio interviews and community presearlier Inuit features such as the flagstone floor entations. This past summer we enjoyed an and stone-hearth with a wood floor and wood- impromptu visit at the site from a number of stove, they share an internal arrangement and kids and parents from Rigolet who were interlogic that is impossible to ignore. In fact, the ested in what was going on, and we had about internal arrangement of both houses is so simi- 40 people show up (not all at once) for our lar they are almost mirror images of the other post-fieldwork show-and-tell (see figures 8 and and the only discernable difference is that 9). Based on past experience this is a very dehouse 3 is wider than it is long and house 4 is cent turn out. With the support of the longer than it is wide.

cated in the centre of the front (south) wall, and while only one person applied last summer which faces the water and requires you to step (and he ended up working for the Department up substantially (approx. 30cm) to enter the of Fisheries instead), I have already received houses. The hearth and stove are placed to the interest from several people about next year. I left of the entrances in the southwest corners like to think this increased interest can be at of both houses. These are substantial features least partly attributed to the reception and inwith stonework radiating outwards from the terest in the project by the people of Rigolet cooking areas (compare figures 4 and 7). Run- this past summer. I hope that next years fieldning along the entire length of the back (north) work is as successful as this years was. wall in both houses are raised rear-sleeping

All told, houses 3 and 4 represent two As her first fieldwork experience be- distinct periods of time with a possible overlap

As great as the archaeological potential of Snook's Cove is, the warm reception and As interesting as the architectural and genuine interest in the project by people from Nunatsiavut government I also sought to hire The entrances of both houses are lo- students from Rigolet to help with fieldwork,



Figure 6 In-situ plank floor in House 3 abuts the base of the rear sleeping platform (Pritchard)

Figure 7 Fireplace and partially paved floor in house 3. The barrel hoop at the top acted as a base for an oven and/or stove and the soil around this area is baked from the heat radiating from it. The smaller linear stones on the left are part of the front wall (Pritchard)





Figure 8 Me explaining the site to kids and parents from Rigolet (Pritchard)

Figure 9 Post-fieldwork show-and-tell at the Rigolet community centre (Pritchard)

