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Three Challenges

1. Demographics
   Aging, declining rural population

2. Public Sector Finances
   Government battling:
   – Widespread infrastructure challenges
   – Unsatisfactory service levels in health and education programs
   – Serious deficit and debt problems

3. Fishery
   Facing critical labour shortages & intense global competition
The Importance of our Rural Communities

According to the Royal Commission on Renewing and Strengthening our Place in Canada:

“…the most significant social and economic challenge facing the province today is the survival of rural Newfoundland and Labrador” (Our Place in Canada, p. 28).
One Practical Approach to Meeting All Three Challenges

**Growth Centres – Based on the Fishery**

- To Make Rural Areas Viable Economically & Socially
- To Help Put Province’s Fiscal House in Order
- To Respond to the Fishery’s Structural Issues and the Global Competitive Environment
1. Rural Decline

- Population in decline:
  - Projection for 2018: 500,000

- Population aging:
  - By 2018: 54% will be age 45 or older (In 1991: less than 27% of larger population was in that age group)

- Rural areas affected the most
### Population Change by Economic Zone
#### 1991-2018, Medium Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Projected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic</td>
<td>-3528</td>
<td>-1,333</td>
<td>-36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Ochre</td>
<td>-2,603</td>
<td>-369</td>
<td>-24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber</td>
<td>-5,409</td>
<td>-1,022</td>
<td>-13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Range</td>
<td>-5,007</td>
<td>-1,944</td>
<td>-24.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine &amp; Mountain</td>
<td>-3,434</td>
<td>-1,706</td>
<td>-40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerald</td>
<td>-4,405</td>
<td>-1,778</td>
<td>-30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploits Valley</td>
<td>-3632</td>
<td>+573</td>
<td>-9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast of Bays</td>
<td>-1,821</td>
<td>-435</td>
<td>-22.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kittiwake</td>
<td>-9,544</td>
<td>-4,271</td>
<td>-23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discovery</td>
<td>-5,605</td>
<td>-2,714</td>
<td>-23.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooner</td>
<td>-5,880</td>
<td>-3,894</td>
<td>-33.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariner</td>
<td>-5,848</td>
<td>-6,907</td>
<td>-27.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avalon Gateway</td>
<td>-2,626</td>
<td>-1,558</td>
<td>-38.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irish Loop</td>
<td>-2,141</td>
<td>+662</td>
<td>-13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Coast</td>
<td>+2,683</td>
<td>+6,868</td>
<td>+5.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Population Change by Economic Zone 1991-2018, Medium Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labrador</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inukshuk</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyron</td>
<td>-2,270</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-18.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Labrador</td>
<td>-797</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern Aurora</td>
<td>-461</td>
<td>-227</td>
<td>-22.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labrador Straits</td>
<td>-260</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>-8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Province</strong></td>
<td><strong>-62,491</strong></td>
<td><strong>-19,775</strong></td>
<td><strong>-82,266</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Total Change, Newfoundland and Labrador: -14.2% (-82,266)
*Badgers Quay includes Valleyfield, Pools Island, Wesleyville & Newtown
Enrolment, All Grades, 1948-49 to 2014-15

Source: Data from Departments of Education and Finance.

Note: The provincial population, 1951: 361,416; 2004 (est.): 517,027
2. Government’s Fiscal Challenges

- Price Waterhouse Coopers’ Report, 2004:
  - $826.2 M accrual deficit in 2003-2004
  - Cash deficit projection of $710.8 M & net debt of $15.8 Billion by 2007-08 (approximately $30,500 per person)
  - Health costs: 44.5% of program funding (11% higher than Canadian average)
  - School enrolment down by 26% since 95-96, funding up by 38.9% per student
  - 78% of transportation infrastructure amortized
Government’s Challenge

2005-2006 Budget

The Good News
- New Atlantic Accord Arrangement
- Improvements to the Equalization Program
- Higher Offshore Royalties
- Expanded Canada Health Transfers

The Bad News
- $492.5 M Accrual Deficit in 2005-2006
- Cash Shortfall Projection of $62 M
3. The Fishery: The Engine of Rural Economy

Dramatic expansion since 1997

- 5-year export growth of 157% to $937 million in 2002, three times Canadian average
- 63% increase in Real GDP
- 49% boost in processing employment
- $300 million in private investment
Fish Landings by Species Group
Newfoundland and Labrador, 1989 - 2004

Source: DFA & DFO

P = Preliminary  R = Revised
The Fishery’s Predicaments

– Human resource crisis
– Uncertain resource picture
– Over-capitalization
– Global competition
– Social dependency
– Subsidy/trade issues
Human Resource Crisis

- Plant workers’ incomes among lowest in country, despite high wage rates
- Increased reliance on EI
- Limited, short seasonal employment
- Employment marked by uncertainty
- Youth uninterested in processing industry
  - MI unable to offer processing programs for past eight years
Number of Harvesters and Processing Workers

Source: Special Tabulation, Statistics Canada; Newfoundland Statistics Agency; DFA
Average Total Income of Fish Harvesters and Processing Workers

Source: Special Tabulation, Statistics Canada; Newfoundland Statistics Agency; DFA
Average Age of Plant Workers

Source: EI Data
Resource Uncertainty

- Crab & shrimp constitute 75% of value
- Probable decline in key crab resource
- No significant improvement in cod stocks
- Shrimp resource strong, but sector plagued by traditional structural problems, even though sector has developed since 1997
Landings of Inshore Shrimp

From: *Report of the Inshore Shrimp Panel*; Source: DFO; DFAIT
Shrimp Processing Capacity and Quotas

Source: DFO; Inshore Shrimp Panel
Note: Processing capacity is defined as plant rated daily throughput per 10 hour shift operating 2 shifts per day, 6.5 days per week for 33 weeks.
Competitive Threats

- Chinese aggressively entering the markets of Japan, United States and Europe
- Wage rates in Asia: $US .25 per hour
- Global food industry consolidation in US and Europe
Hourly Compensation, Food, Beverages & Tobacco, 2002

Source: US Department of Labor & O’Rielly Pers. information
Present Structure Will Not Last

- Fishery continues to be based on historical seasonal pattern, catching/processing fish at the wrong time from a quality & value perspective. No biological/economic/market reasons why fishery cannot be significantly extended
- Industry structure maintained for social reasons
- Continuing on this path will lead to the demise of the industry
  - Cod and shrimp processing currently marginal; industry dependence on crab will not continue much longer
- Fishing sector’s role as “Employer of Last Resort” is over: Rural youth have already made that judgment
Resource Management Challenges

- Monitoring of Landings
  - 200 crab landing stations
  - 323 cod landing locations
  - Seasonal concentration

- Maintenance of Infrastructure

- Implementation of “Fish Landing Stations” Protocol by DFO & DFA
Solution: Growth Centres
Based on the Fishery

The Three Main Challenges
• Demographic Decline in Rural Areas
• Public Sector’s Escalating Debt & Mounting Pressures
• Fishery’s Human Resource & Global Competitiveness Issues

Fishery Growth Centres
• Social & Economic Revitalization of Communities
• Regional Concentration of Government Services
• Industry Consolidation Benefits
Community Revitalization

• Viable Communities
  – Schooling
  – Health & social services
  – Improved community infrastructure

• Retention of Youth
  – Better educational facilities
  – Diverse, enhanced social opportunities
  – Better career options
  – Potential for future growth
  – Recreational choices
Public Sector Benefits

- Reduced EI cost
- Reduced Health, Education and Community Costs
- Reduction of costs and facilitation of resource management or improved services
- Establishment of a tax base
- Provides a platform for other diversified economic growth
Fishing Industry Viability

• Attract young workers
• Target high end of market & compete successfully
  – Superior-quality product
  – Continuity of supply
  – Resource sustainability
  – Food safety and security assurance
  – Niche product & markets
• Improve prices to harvesters
Implementation

- Relocation at discretion of individuals
- Existing service centres independent of process (e.g., Clarenville, Gander, etc.)
- Consideration for aquaculture industry development
- Inclusive, transparent process
- Plant Production Quota System
- Implementation of joint management system
Inclusive Transparent Process

“The people of the province must become engaged in an informed public dialogue on the future of rural Newfoundland and Labrador as preparation for the development of a rural strategy.”

*The Royal Commission on Renewing and Strengthening our Place in Canada*
Plant Production Quota System

To Facilitate and Manage Industry’s Consolidation

– Shares allocated for major species groups
– Transfers and consolidations subject to public sector approval to ensure regional balance and concentration in growth areas
– Significant cost reduction, improved incomes and business development prospects for industry
– Similar to current Provincial Raw Material Shares System
  – Strives for Regional Balance
  – Historically derived
  – However, RMS is pilot project, during which shares are non-transferable
Upon attainment of appropriate price resolution process:

- Harvesting sector: orientation redirected to resource sustainability & optimizing quality & value of the catch
- Plant workers: Security of employment, extended periods of employment & increased incomes
- Processors: Competitive focus redirected from resource procurement to global market opportunities, through product & process innovations

A more stable & predictable business environment with enhanced ability to compete globally
Joint Fisheries Management

The Royal Commission stated:

“The provincial government must have direct participation in the management of its most important resource. The Commission recommends the negotiation of a new fisheries-management relationship between the two governments, leading to the development of mechanisms for joint management of the fishery, integrated policy development and implementation. Achieving joint management does not require constitutional amendment, and could follow the same route that led to the current joint management regime for offshore oil and gas.”
Bridging the Jurisdictional Divide in Fisheries Management and Development

**A joint Federal-Provincial plan for fisheries resource management and development**

- Stable foundation for resource management & industry investment
- A fisheries policy will be incorporated in broader economic and social plans
- Potential removed for arbitrary or inconsistent fishery management decisions
- Achieves a management plan that matches vessel size, numbers of vessels, landing locations & plant locations with a publicly designated ‘Growth Centres’ strategy
Further Considerations – Next Steps

• Analysis of costs and benefits
• Time to establish: 5 – 10 years
• Full fishing industry participation
• A comprehensive ‘Marshall Plan’ with federal, provincial & municipal participation
Non-intervention = Benign Neglect

– Tacit acknowledgement of the irreparable decline of rural economy and social structure

– Probable adaptation by the fishing industry
  • Growth in on-board processing
  • Exports of raw material for processing elsewhere
  • Employment of migrant workers
  • Less value-added production

– Deficit & debt burden grows while we continue pursuit of economic diversification
EDITORIAL

The need for a Harbour Breton 'test case'

A three-part Telegram series published on May 12, 13 and 14 showed how the Town of Harbour Breton, a community on the Connaigre Peninsula, is attempting to cope with the closure of its fish plant. There have been several ideas put forward on how the town can be revitalized. This is one of them.

Newfoundland and Labrador's fishing society is in a deep crisis. The prolonged crab dispute has put in jeopardy the livelihood of thousands of plant workers and fishermen and dozens of rural coastal communities. A key industry player, Fishery Products International Ltd. (FPI), has reported dismal financial results and is pursuing a strategy of plant closures. Harbour Breton and the entire Connaigre Peninsula find their future in total disarray, with no plan to revive their economy.

The provincial government seemed ready to challenge the Fish, Food and Allied Workers' union (FFAW) at all costs, including the loss of the entire crab season. Rural out-migration continues unabated. It is hard to make any rhyme or reason of this perplexing situation while the future of much of rural Newfoundland and Labrador hangs in the balance.

The situation in Harbour Breton is extremely serious and represents a wake-up call for the entire fishing society. It is also a test of the new provincial government's declared commitment to revitalize and rejuvenate rural Newfoundland and Labrador. This commitment will be off to a very poor start indeed if Harbour Breton and the Connaigre Peninsula are allowed to go down the drain without any serious attempt to find and implement a solution. The critical issue has become whether some kind of community-based strategy can be put together to save Harbour Breton.

Such a strategy would mean that everyone would have to pull together. Unfortunately, this is easier said than done. Based on past experience, the odds are against a community-based solution in Harbour Breton. In particular, fishermen and plant workers have always been at odds and fish has been trucked out of the area to the disadvantage of the community. Nevertheless, in these last-chance-for-survival circumstances, a collective community spirit could be ignited to bring some sanity and unity to the situation. Assuming there is a will, the crucial question becomes: is there a way, and what might a community-based strategy look like?

First and foremost, it would require a passionate, determined and united response from all concerned. The driving force behind such an effort would be the reality that the alternative is the sudden economic death, not only of Harbour Breton, but of much of the Connaigre Peninsula.

We hope both levels of government embrace Harbour Breton as a test case of rural survival. Otherwise, they will appear to be accepting that the demise of rural Newfoundland and Labrador is inevitable.

A community-based approach would require: the province investing in the plant; FPI turning over the plant for a dollar; keeping in mind the plant has now been written off; the federal government granting a community-based fish quota with no cost attached; the quota being based on Harbour Breton's historical use of cod, redfish, yellowtail flounder and American plaice; FPI agreeing to a marketing arrangement for the sale of product; inshore fishermen agreeing to sell to the plant 100 percent of the inshore landings; plant employees agreeing to new wage rates and benefits commensurate with a community-based operation; the union agreeing to a smaller workforce; the federal government agreeing to an early retirement package for those displaced by this strategy; and the community attracting a new operator with low taxes and an attitude of "making it happen together."

Without this unprecedented display of rural teamwork, Harbour Breton and, in deep and immediate trouble. Its situation represents a crucial test for the province, the federal government, union, the employees, the fishermen, company and the community.

Can they really step up to the plate? We hope so. Failure not only means the demise of Harbour Breton but the beginning of a much clearer picture of the very desperate, indescribable, situation facing the entire fishing society in Newfoundland and Labrador.

We hope both levels of government embrace Harbour Breton as a test case of rural survival. Otherwise, they will appear to be accepting that the demise of rural Newfoundland and Labrador is inevitable.
Fisheries-based Growth Centres

Retain Traditional Economic Strengths & Improve Diversification Prospects

Safeguard Cultural Values

Maintain Lifestyle in a Unique Natural Environment