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Are the differences important?
Do we need to talk about them?

Hesitation in pointing out differences:
Women want to succeed on their own merit;
Lingering tension between quality and style.
Outline

- Differences
- Quality science & thinking styles
- Effects of not talking
- Introduce discussion question
Diversity: Changing Paradigms

- Discrimination and fairness
- Access and legitimacy
- Learning and effectiveness

Gender Differences

- Abilities - NO
  - test scores - maybe

- Motivations - YES
  - affective influence
  - value of contribution
  - work-life balance

- Thinking styles - YES
Thinking style affects ...

- Communication
- Information handling
- Problem solving
- Learning and teaching
Thinking Styles

Hierarchical
- Progression
- Axiomatic
- Pure math
- Structured program
- Highly specialized

Relational
- Patterns
- Intuition
- Applied Math
- Bricolage *
- Interdisciplinary

*Levi-Strauss, The Savage Mind
Axiomatic Tradition

- Legacy of the Greek schools
- Legitimacy through argument & proof
- Concept is primary; experience is secondary
- Progression is hierarchical
Relational Thinking

- Characteristic of the arts
- Legitimacy through observation & experience
- Relationships are primary; formalism is secondary
- Application & extension are continuous

learning
Relational Learners in Axiomatic Environment

- Question legitimacy
- Question motivation & relevance
- Anxiety due to missing legitimacy
- Miss steps in progression or are slower initially

(Booth and Brooks 1985)
Thinking (Learning) Styles

- Culture & age effects
- Language influence
- Brain maps (Wilder Penfield)
- Thinking paths are neural networks
- Styles can be learned - bimodal
Kolb: Learning Style Inventory
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Scientific Process

Discovery ➔ Legitimacy ➔ Validity

Impact
### Scientific Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE</th>
<th>DISCOVER</th>
<th>LEGIT</th>
<th>VALID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AXIOM</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELATE</td>
<td></td>
<td>⬛️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some Relational Thinkers

“... it was necessary to continue the development of the picture as the method, before the mathematics could really be done.”
- Richard Feynman

“The way the two triple sets of axioms are contrasted in (the book) is not at all the way things happened in the process of actual thinking. This was merely a later formulation of the subject matter.”
- Albert Einstein
## Creative Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE</th>
<th>DISCOVER</th>
<th>LEGIT</th>
<th>VALID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AXIOM</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
<td>⇆</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RELATE</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
<td>⇆</td>
<td>⭐️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scientific Validity (Truth)

Axiomatic validity is necessary, but not sufficient.

Result must also be consistent with all related observations and results.
Scientific Validity (Truth)

Consistency with all related observations and results is necessary, but not sufficient.

Axiomatic argument must lead to equivalent result.
Scientific Process

Discovery ➔ Legitimacy ➔ Validity

Impact

Innovation Process
Do we need to talk about gender?

- Different styles - gender trends
- Recognize value of different styles
- Legitimacy of different styles
- **Stereotype threat**
- Real life example
Stereotype Threat:

The threat of being viewed through the lens of a negative stereotype; the fear of doing something that would confirm the stereotype.

Stereotype Threat

- Response to perceived external attitude, not self-evaluation
- Defense may be disassociation and withdrawal
- Affects more serious students on tests
  - inefficient, poor judgement
  - increased blood pressure
Reduce Stereotype Threat

- Instill confidence that system is unbiased
- Explicitly remove stereotype
- Transition year critical
  - encouragement and mentoring
  - teaching and tutoring
Summary

- Differences are important
- Quality of Science not compromised
- Successful ‘diversification’ requires changes in the culture

Question: How do we talk about it?