Pool of Items for Instructor Provided Questions

Likert-style Questions

1. I would recommend taking this course.
2. The instructor was well prepared for each class.
3. The instructor's lectures were clear.
4. The instructor used challenging questions.
5. The instructor was willing to listen to student opinions.
6. The instructor was actively helpful to the students.
7. The instructor was available to students outside of class.
8. The instructor had a genuine interest in student learning.
9. Considering class size, the instructor was available for individual consultation.
10. The learning activities were well-integrated into the course.
11. The assignments engaged me in learning.
12. The assignments in the course were clearly related to the course objectives.
13. The scheduling of assessments (e.g., assignments and examinations) was appropriate.
14. The course materials (e.g., readings, notes, in-class exercises) facilitated learning.
15. The general atmosphere in this course was good for learning.
16. There was a collaborative atmosphere in this course.
17. I have attended all classes regularly.
18. I have been putting a great deal of effort into this course.
19. The instructor related to students in ways that promoted mutual respect.
20. The instructor communicated what students could expect to learn as a result of taking this course.
21. The instructor set attainable expectations for this course.
22. The instructor encouraged students to participate.
23. The instructor provided adequate opportunities for questions and discussion during class time.
24. The instructor graded student work promptly.
25. The instructor made effective use of examples.
26. As the course progressed the instructor showed how each topic fit into the course as a whole.
27. The instructor conducted class sessions in an organized manner.
28. Overall, the instructor’s explanations were clear.
29. The instructor summarized material in a way that helped me remember.
30. The instructor used effective teaching aids.
31. The course respected diverse ways of learning.
32. The course content matched the course objectives.

1 Taken from Appendix D of the report “Review of the Course Evaluation Questionnaire (CEQ)” presented to Senate in January 2012.
33. In general, the level of difficulty in this course was appropriate.
34. Students were invited to share their ideas.
35. The evaluation methods used in this course were appropriate.
36. Feedback on course assignments contributed to my learning.
37. There was close agreement between the stated course objectives and what was actually covered.
38. The evaluation methods reflected the important aspects of the course.
39. In this course, I felt motivated to learn.
40. As a result of this course, I have greater appreciation for this field of study.
41. The course outline was consistently followed.
42. The physical facilities provided for this course were appropriate (e.g., classroom/lab space, structure, furnishings).

Open-ended questions

1. Describe the three best elements of the instruction in the course
2. Describe three elements of instruction in this course that need improvement
3. How would you describe the instructional style used in the course?
4. Describe your experience with the labs in the course.
5. Describe the three best elements of the course.
6. Describe three elements of this course that need improvement.
7. What have you taken from this course that you will bring forward to your future studies and career?
8. Describe your experience with group assignments in the course.