

Patterns in the L1 acquisition of the Northern East Cree possessive suffix

Ryan E. Henke | University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Agenda

Introduce NEC

Acquisition of POSS marking

POSS suffix *-im* in NEC

RQs and data

Results

Conclusions



Northern East Cree (NEC)

Algonquian language of **Eeyou Istchee** in Northern Québec

Spoken in **four communities** along James Bay

Polysynthetic language: Long + complex verbs

Most polysynthetic languages endangered ...
(relatively) **little known about acquisition** (e.g., Kelly et al., 2014)

Data from **Chisasibi**: population ~5000 (Grand Council of the Crees, 2019)

2475 report speaking Cree as “**mother tongue**” (Statistics Canada 2016)

But lots of language **change/shift** (Brittain and MacKenzie 2010; Collette 2018)

Fewer children still acquire NEC as mother tongue (ibid. & p.c.)

Crucial time for language **documentation, description,**
and **teaching/revitalization**



Acquisition of POSS marking

Crosslinguistic lit: Before age 2;0, children express possession **before** acquiring grammatical encoding (e.g., Brown 1973; Clark 2001; Golinkoff & Markessini 1980; Tomasello 1998)

Will **omit** grammatical marking inflection, case markers, or adpositions (e.g., Marinis 2016)

Polysynthetic languages: No explicit attention to POSS marking, but clues ...

Mohawk: Child lacks POSS marking at 2;10, and acquires it “late” (Feurer 1980)

K’iche: Children at 2;1, 2;9 missing POSS marking (Pye, 1979, 1992)

Yucatec: One child masters POSS marking by age 3;0 but another takes until 4;1 (Pfeiler 2009)

Input: Patterns in child-directed speech *often linked to acquisition* of inflectional forms (Ambridge & Lieven 2011, Deen 2012)

Open questions for polysynthetic languages:

How do children acquire POSS inflection, and what is the relationship to input?

NEC POSS suffix *-im*

NEC POSS inflection on **nouns** via **suffix *-im***:

(1) shîshîp
shîshîp
duck
'duck'

(2) chishîshîpim
chi-shîshîp-**im**
2-duck-**POSS**
'your duck'

(3) chishîshîpimiwâuch
chi-shîshîp-**im**-iwâu-ch
2-duck-**POSS**-2/3.PL-3.PROX.ANIM.PL
'your (PL) ducks'

Obligatory usage of *-im*: very **complex**

Conventional wisdom says grammatical **animacy** is key (e.g., Junker et al., 2012)

animate = *-im*

inanimate = no *-im*

But it's not that simple ...

NEC POSS suffix *-im*

But Collette lays out many **additional factors** (2014)

lexical, semantic, (morpho)phonological ... along with lexicalization, “random” distributions

	Lexical + Semantic		Phonological	Lexical
	Biologically animate referent	Inalienably possessed	Stem ends in nasal C	English loanwords
Noun takes <i>-im</i> ?	Yes	No	No	Yes

Plenty of **exceptions** ...

(4) nitâniskawisîm
nit-âniskawisî-**m**
1-great.grandfather-**POSS**
'my great-grandfather'

(5) niwâskâhikanim
ni-wâskâhikan-**im**
1-house-**POSS**
'my house'

Research questions

The big question: Given such complexity, how do children figure out where to use *-im*?

Anecdotal claims: Young speakers may **regularize** *-im* to **all possessives** (Collette, 2014; Junker, 2003)

RQ1: What is the distribution of *-im* in **adult input** to children?

RQ2: What is the path of emergence for *-im* in **child speech**?



The data

Corpus data: Chisasibi Child Language Acquisition Study (**CCLAS**) (Brittain et al., 2007)

Naturalistic video data (2004–2007): **Three children**

Ani	age 2;01–4;03	15 sessions, 10 hours video
Daisy	age 3;08–5;10	11 sessions, 6.5 hours video
Billy	age 4;05–05;10	11 sessions, 6.75 hours video

First + last recording session, then spaced every 1–2 months

Child	Age			
	2;0	3;0	4;0	5;0
Ani	-----			
Daisy		-----		
Billy			-----	

RQ1: *-im* in adult input

RQ1:

What is the distribution of *-im* in **adult input** to children?

Possessee nouns in adult speech: **98 types** (627 tokens)

	<i>+ im</i>	<i>- im</i>
Cree	18 (102)	70 (512)
English	7 (10)	3 (3)

Cree possessives dominate input ... but most **do not require** *-im*

We'll explore these **four factors** identified by Collette (2014)

Grammatical animacy

(In)alienability

Phonology

English loanwords

Starting with the **Cree nouns** ...

RQ1: *-im* in adult input

What about grammatical **animacy**?

Conventional wisdom doesn't hold up:

Grammatical **animacy does *not* predict** whether ***-im*** is required (c.f. Junker et al., 2012)

OK, what about **(in)alienability**?

	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Animate	9 (83)	27 (184)
Inanimate	9 (19)	43 (328)

RQ1: *-im* in adult input

(In)alienability is a great predictor of *-im*

Children could posit a principle:

inalienable Cree nouns do not use *-im*

	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Inalienable	2 (23)	36 (290)
Alienable	16 (79)	34 (222)

- (6) Tânihî mâk chihtiwikîh
tâni-hî mâk chi-htiwikî-h
where-INAN.PL then 2-ear-INAN.PL
'Where are your ears?' (Adult, A1.15, 039:09)

The two exceptions are **lexicalized** with *-im*: *uhku-* 'grandmother', *mushu-* 'grandfather'

Phonology then accounts for the **alienable** Cree noun types ...

RQ1: *-im* in adult input

Phonology is a great predictor of *-im*

Children could posit another principle:

Cree noun stems ending in a **nasal consonant** do not use *-im*

Final stem segment	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Nasal C	3 (9)	28 (172)
Other	13 (70)	6 (50)

- (7) Awân an kânûkusit chitishtutinihch
awân an kânûkusit chit-ishtutin-ihch
who DEM s/he.is.visible 2-hat-LOC
'Who is that on your hat?' (Adult, A1.33, 021:27)

Leaves a handful of **exceptions** ... but we've accounted for **~90 percent of Cree nouns** in input

What about the **English** nouns?

RQ1: *-im* in adult input

Children can posit that **English** possessives use *-im* ...

... **unless** there is an **Eng POSS pronoun**

Accounts for 9/10 English types (12/13 tokens)

	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Cree	✓	✓
English	7 (10)	3 (3)

(8) Kûhtâwî âi utauntîmh
k-ûhtâwî âi ut-aunt-îm-h
2-father HES 3-aunt-**POSS**-ANIM.OBV
'Your dad's aunt' (Adult, B3.18, 018:53)

(9) Awân chîyi your auntie
awân chîyi **your** aunt-ie
who 2 **2SG.POSS** aunt-DIM
'Who is your auntie?' (Adult, B3.18, 019:02)

Let's review ...

RQ1: *-im* in adult input

Takeaways for RQ1:

What is the distribution of *-im* in adult input to children?

	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Cree	18 (102)	70 (512)
English	7 (10)	3 (3)

Children could extrapolate four principles from **input**:

P-Inalienable

No *-im* if inalienable Cree possessee

P-Nasal

No *-im* if nasal-final Cree noun stem

P-English

No *-im* if English possessive pronoun used

P-*im*

Use *-im* on all other possesseees

Accounts for **~90 percent of *-im*** in adult input: **88/98 types** (555/627 tokens)

So ... how does *-im* appear in **child speech**, and are these principles evident?

RQ2a: *-im* in Ani's speech

RQ2a: What is the path of emergence for *-im* in **Ani's speech**?

No inflection for possessives (age 2;01–3;04), whether Cree or English nouns

(10) Nîyi û chûchûsh
nîyi û *∅-chûchû-*∅-sh
1 DEM 1-bottle-**POSS**-DIM
'This is my bottle' (Ani, 2;07.06, A1.12, 028:54)

(11) Nîyi û car
nîyi û *∅- car-*∅
1 DEM 1-car-**POSS**
'This is my car' (Ani, 3;02.05, A1.24, 018:30)

Similar to **no-marking stage** for POSS in **many other languages**

Productive usage of *-im* emerges from **age 3;06–4;00**

RQ2a: *-im* in Ani's speech

Not many possessives (12 types, 22 tokens), but largely **follow principles** in adult input:

P-Inalienable No *-im* if inalienable Cree possessee (2 types, 5 tokens)

(12) Nimui chîpit
 nimui ch-îpit
 NEG 2-tooth
 'Not your tooth?' (Ani, A1.35, 4;00, 019:59)

P-Nasal No *-im* if nasal-final Cree noun stem (2 types, 2 tokens)

(13) Tân nîyi nimischisin
 tân nîyi ni-mischisin
 where 1 1-shoe
 'Where is my shoe?' (Ani, A1.30, 3;06, 006:17)

RQ2a: *-im* in Ani's speech

P-English

No *-im* if English possessive pronoun

(2 tokens)

(14) Tâpâ ihtikun nîyi my pencil

tâpâ	ihtikun	nîyi	my	pencil
NEG	be.INAN.SG	1	1SG.POSS	pencil

'It's not there, my pencil!' (Ani, 4;03.07, A1.37, 037:42)

P-im

Use *-im* on all other possessives

(7 types, 12 tokens)

(15) Awân uyâyih upencilimh

awân	u-yâyih	u-pencil- im -h
who	DEM-ANIM.OBV	3-pencil- POSS-Q

'Whose pencil is this?' (Ani, 4;03.07, A1.37, 006:59)

Only one exception to the principles in Ani's speech

(1 type, 1 token)

RQ2b: *-im* in Daisy + Billy's speech

RQ2b: What is the path of emergence for *-im* in **Daisy + Billy's** speech?

Daisy (age 3;08–5;10), **Billy** (4;05–05;10) similar usage:

Both use *-im* productively from first session

Both pattern with the **four principles** in adult input

Let's go through them ...

	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Cree	16 (31)	52 (184)
English	23 (33)	7 (7)

RQ2b: *-im* in Daisy + Billy's speech

P-Inalienable

No *-im* if inalienable Cree possessee

Their **inalienable** Cree nouns **do not use *-im***

- (16) îhî nîchinâhch chîhihtâu
 îhî n-îch-inâ-hch chîhihtâu
 yes 1-home-1PL.EXCL-LOC he.was
 'Yes, he was at our house' (Billy, 5;06, B3.17, 029:27)

	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Inalienable	2 (6)	37 (159)
Alienable	13 (25)	17 (25)

The two exceptions lexicalized, just like adult: *uhku-* 'grandmother', *mushu-* 'grandfather'

Then **phonology** accounts for their **alienable** nouns ...

RQ2b: *-im* in Daisy + Billy's speech

P-Nasal

No *-im* if nasal-final Cree noun stem

- (17) lyâu â utishtutin
iyâu â ut-ishtutin
he.has Q 3-hat
'Does he have his hat?' (Billy, 5;03, B3.14, 008:04)

Final stem segment	+ <i>im</i>	- <i>im</i>
Nasal C	0 (0)	15 (22)
Other	13 (25)	2 (3)

Exceptions: 0 for Daisy, 2 types (3 tokens) for Billy

RQ2b: *-im* in Daisy + Billy's speech

P-English

No *-im* if English possessive pronoun used

Not many examples: 2 types (2 tokens) for Daisy, 2 types (2 tokens) for Billy

(18) Tân my keysiyiu

tân **my** key-s-iyiu

where **1SG.POSS** key-ENG.PL-INAN.OBV

'(He says) where are my keys?' (Billy, 5;03, B3.14, 011:22)

Exceptions: 1 type (1 token) for Daisy, 2 types (2 tokens) for Billy

RQ2b: *-im* in Daisy + Billy's speech

P-im Use *-im* on all other possessives

- (19) Chîhâtuwihû wâsh âi nibusiminân
chîh-âtuwihû wâsh âi ni-bus-**im**-inân
PST-it.is.stuck-3SG EMPH HES 1-bus-**POSS**-1PL.EXCL
'Um ... our bus got stuck' (Daisy, 5;07, B1.30, 009:23)

Exceptions: 0 for Daisy, 2 types (3 tokens) for Billy

Daisy + Billy's usage patterns w/ **four principles** from input:

Accounts for **91/98 types** (246/255 tokens)

Conclusions

POSS suffix *-im*: has **complex distribution** in adult grammar, evades parsimonious description

But **acquisition** may hinge on straightforward **principles** in adult input:

P-Inalienable	No <i>-im</i> if inalienable Cree possessee
P-Nasal	No <i>-im</i> if nasal-final Cree noun stem
P-English	No <i>-im</i> if English possessive pronoun used
P-im	Use <i>-im</i> on all other possesseees

These principles account for **huge proportions** of on-target *-im* usage:

Adult input:	88/98 total noun types	87.8 percent
Ani :	11/12 total noun types	91.7 percent
Daisy + Billy :	91/98 total noun types	92.9 percent

Remaining question: How do children learn the **exceptions**?

Conclusions

Community applications:

Principles could be used in **pedagogy**: Help students learn where to use *-im*

Can inform **SLP** methods, tools

Implications for **NEC** linguistics:

Animacy is **not** a determining factor in *-im*

No evidence three children are **regularizing** *-im* with Cree ... but **likely** with English

Contributions to **cross-linguistic** acquisition of **POSS**:

No-marking stage for Ani until age 3;04: Similar to **Mohawk** and **Yucatec**?

Productive **child speech** patterns tightly with **adult input**

Adult **input** may facilitate learning of **complicated** inflectional phenomenon

References

- Ambridge, B., & Lieven, E. V. M. (2011). *Child language acquisition: Contrasting theoretical approaches*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brittain, J., & MacKenzie, M. (2010). The Future of Cree. In E. Faries, M. MacKenzie, J. Brittain, L. Morris, S. Demers, M.-O. Junker, E. Chiskamish, N. Dostaler, & S. Runnels (Eds.), *Research Report: Cree School Board Language of Instruction Evaluation* (pp. 1–13). Cree School Board of Quebec.
- Brittain, J., Dyck, C., Rose, Y., & MacKenzie, M. (2007). The Chisasibi Child Language Acquisition Study (CCLAS): A progress report. In H. C. Wolfart (Ed.), *Papers of the 38th Algonquian Conference* (pp. 1–17). Winnipeg: University of Manitoba.
- Brown, R. (1973). *A first language: The early stages*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Clark, E. V. (2001). Emergent categories in first language acquisition. In M. Bowerman & S. C. Levinson (Eds.), *Language acquisition and conceptual development* (pp. 379–405). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Collette, V. (2014). *Description de la morphologie grammaticale du cri de l'Est (dialecte du Nord, Whapmagoostui)* (PhD dissertation). Université Laval, Québec.
- Collette, V. (2018). Morphology and morphosyntax of eastern James Bay Creenglish [Conference paper]. 50th Algonquian Conference, University of Alberta.
- Deen, K. U. (2012). The morphosyntax interface. In E. L. Bavin (Ed.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Child Language* (pp. 259–280). Cambridge University Press.
- Feurer, H. (1980). Morphological development in Mohawk. In *Papers and reports on child language development* (pp. 25–42). Stanford: Stanford University.
- Golinkoff, R. M., & Markessini, J. (1980). “Mommy sock”: The child’s understanding of possession as expressed in two-noun phrases. *Journal of Child Language*, 7(1), 119–135.
- Grand Council of the Crees. (2019). Chisasibi. Retrieved from Cree Nation Government website: <https://cngov.ca/community-culture/communities/chisasibi/>
- Junker, M.-O. (2003). East Cree dependent nouns and disjoint reference. *Algonquian & Iroquoian Linguistics*, 28(1), 11–13.
- Junker, M.-O., MacKenzie, M., & Brittain, J. (2012). Comparative structures of East Cree and English. http://eastcree.org/pdf/Cree_English_Structure_2012.pdf
- Kelly, B., Wigglesworth, G., Nordlinger, R., & Blythe, J. (2014). The acquisition of polysynthetic languages. *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 8(2), 51–64.
- Marinis, T. (2016). Acquiring possessives. In J. L. Lidz, W. Snyder, & J. Pater (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Developmental Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Pfeiler, B. (2009). The acquisition of numeral classifiers and optional plural marking in Yucatec Maya. In U. Stephany & M. D. Voeikova (Eds.), *Development of nominal inflection in first language acquisition: A cross-linguistic perspective* (pp. 91–110). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Pye, C. (1979). The acquisition of Quiche (Mayan). *Current Anthropology*, 20(2), 459–460.
- Pye, M. (1992). The acquisition of K’iche’ Maya. In D. I. Slobin (Ed.), *The crosslinguistic study of language acquisition, volume 3* (pp. 221–308). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Statistics Canada. (2016). *Census Profile, 2016 Census: Chisasibi, Quebec*. www12.statcan.gc.ca
- Tomasello, M. (1998). One child’s early talk about possession. In J. Newman (Ed.), *The Linguistics of Giving* (pp. 349–373). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Acknowledgements

BIG thanks to language consultants: **Margaret** and **Darlene Bearskin**

Thanks to **Chief & Council of the Cree Nation of Chisasibi** for supporting this dissertation research

Thanks to the **Cree School Board** for their support

Thanks to **Julie Brittain** and the CCLAS project at Memorial University

Thanks to **Kamil Deen** at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

Thanks for additional feedback, ideas from **Marguerite MacKenzie** and **Vincent Collette**

This dissertation research is supported by a Dissertation Research Improvement Grant (**DDRIG**) from the **National Science Foundation** (award #1912062)